

Quantum Mechanics course

Two-hour exam, January 2021

Neither documents nor calculators are allowed.

1. Questions on the lecture material [9 points]

- [3 pts] Discuss the various strategies that can be implemented for constructing approximate solutions to the Schrödinger equation. Illustrate your answer with an example.
- [2 pts] Is the two-electron repulsion neglected in the Hartree–Fock method? If not, how is it described?
- [2 pts] Does the Hückel method provide exact solutions to the many-electron Schrödinger equation? Justify your answer. What is the advantage of Hartree–Fock over Hückel?
- [2 pts] How would you define the concept of electron correlation? How can we evaluate its impact on the energy?

2. Problem: Why is the ground-state energy of the harmonic oscillator nonzero? [12 points]

In order to answer the above question, we consider the following (more general) Schrödinger equation (in *one dimension*) for a particle of mass m ,

$$\hat{H}_\lambda |\Psi_{\lambda,n}\rangle = E_{\lambda,n} |\Psi_{\lambda,n}\rangle, \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{H}_\lambda = \hat{T} + \lambda \hat{V}, \quad \hat{T} = \frac{\hat{p}_x^2}{2m}, \quad \hat{V} = \frac{1}{2} k \hat{x}^{2\ell}, \quad \hat{x}^{2\ell} \equiv x^{2\ell} \times, \quad (1)$$

and $\hat{p}_x \equiv -i\hbar \frac{d}{dx}$ is the momentum operator. The real number λ modulates the *strength* of the potential energy while $k > 0$ and the real exponent $\ell \neq 0$ are *constants*. The subscript n in Eq. (1) refers to an energy level ($n = 0$ for the ground state).

- [2 pts] Let $\Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)$ be the wave function that represents $|\Psi_{\lambda,n}\rangle$. We want to show that $E_{\lambda,n}$ can be determined from $E_{\lambda=1,n} = E_n$. For that purpose, we consider the following change of variable $x \rightarrow \tilde{x} = \alpha \times x$ and denote $\Psi_{\lambda,n}(x) = \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\alpha \times x)$. Show that

$$-\alpha^2 \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x})}{d\tilde{x}^2} + \alpha^{-2\ell} \lambda \times \frac{1}{2} k \tilde{x}^{2\ell} \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x}) = E_{\lambda,n} \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x}). \quad (2)$$

The Schrödinger reads

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)}{dx^2} + \frac{1}{2} k \lambda x^{2\ell} \Psi_{\lambda,n}(x) = E_{\lambda,n} \Psi_{\lambda,n}(x). \quad (3)$$

Since $\frac{d\Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)}{dx} = \frac{d}{dx} [\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\alpha x)] = \alpha \frac{d\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x})}{d\tilde{x}} \Big|_{\tilde{x}=\alpha x}$, it comes $\frac{d^2 \Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)}{dx^2} = \alpha^2 \frac{d^2 \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x})}{d\tilde{x}^2} \Big|_{\tilde{x}=\alpha x}$, thus leading, once $x^{2\ell}$ has been replaced by $(\tilde{x}/\alpha)^{2\ell}$, to Eq. (2).

Explain why, if we choose $\alpha^2 = \alpha^{-2\ell} \lambda$ or, equivalently, $\alpha = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2(\ell+1)}}$, then $\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}$ becomes solution to the Schrödinger equation that is obtained from Eq. (1) when λ is set to $\lambda = 1$. Conclude that $E_{\lambda,n} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}} E_n$.

If $\alpha^2 = \alpha^{-2\ell} \lambda$ then Eq. (2) reads

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \frac{d^2 \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x})}{d\tilde{x}^2} + \frac{1}{2} k \tilde{x}^{2\ell} \times \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x}) = \frac{E_{\lambda,n}}{\alpha^2} \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x}), \quad (4)$$

which is formally identical to the Schrödinger Eq. (3) in the particular case $\lambda = 1$. As a result,

$$\frac{E_{\lambda,n}}{\alpha^2} = E_n, \quad (5)$$

or, equivalently,

$$E_{\lambda,n} = \alpha^2 E_n = \lambda^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}} E_n. \quad (6)$$

We also note that $\tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\tilde{x}) \sim \Psi_{\lambda=1,n}(\tilde{x})$ or, equivalently, $\Psi_{\lambda,n}(x) = \tilde{\Psi}_{\lambda,n}(\alpha x) \sim \Psi_{\lambda=1,n} \left(\lambda^{\frac{1}{2(\ell+1)}} \times x \right)$.

- b) [2 pts] We assume that $|\Psi_{\lambda,n}\rangle$ in Eq. (1) is *normalized* for any λ . Prove the Hellmann–Feynman theorem $\frac{dE_{\lambda,n}}{d\lambda} = \left\langle \Psi_{\lambda,n} \left| \frac{\partial \hat{H}_\lambda}{\partial \lambda} \right| \Psi_{\lambda,n} \right\rangle$ and conclude from the previous question that $E_{\lambda,n} = (\ell + 1)\lambda \langle \hat{V} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}$, where $\langle \hat{A} \rangle_{\Psi} \stackrel{\text{notation}}{=} \langle \Psi | \hat{A} | \Psi \rangle$.

We have shown previously that

$$E_{\lambda,n} = \lambda^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}} E_n. \quad (7)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{dE_{\lambda,n}}{d\lambda} = \langle \hat{V} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} \stackrel{\text{Eq. (7)}}{=} \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \frac{\lambda^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}}}{\lambda} E_n, \quad (8)$$

thus leading to

$$\lambda^{\frac{1}{\ell+1}} E_n = E_{\lambda,n} = (\ell + 1)\lambda \langle \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}. \quad (9)$$

- c) [2 pts] Explain why $\langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = E_{\lambda,n} - \lambda \langle \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}$. Deduce from question 2. b) the virial theorem $\langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \ell \langle \lambda \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}$, and conclude that

$$\langle \hat{p}_x^2 \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \frac{2m\ell}{\ell + 1} E_{\lambda,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle \hat{x}^{2\ell} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \frac{2}{k\lambda(\ell + 1)} E_{\lambda,n}. \quad (10)$$

Since

$$\langle \hat{H}(\lambda) \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \langle \Psi_{\lambda,n} | \hat{H}(\lambda) | \Psi_{\lambda,n} \rangle \stackrel{\text{Eq. (1)}}{=} E_{\lambda,n} \langle \Psi_{\lambda,n} | \Psi_{\lambda,n} \rangle = E_{\lambda,n}, \quad (11)$$

it comes $E_{\lambda,n} = \langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} + \lambda \langle \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}$, thus leading to

$$\langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = E_{\lambda,n} - \lambda \langle \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} \stackrel{\text{Eq. (9)}}{=} \ell \lambda \langle \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}. \quad (12)$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = E_{\lambda,n} - \frac{1}{\ell} \langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} \Leftrightarrow \langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \frac{\ell}{\ell + 1} E_{\lambda,n} \quad (13)$$

and

$$\langle \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \frac{1}{\ell\lambda} \langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \frac{E_{\lambda,n}}{\lambda(\ell + 1)}. \quad (14)$$

We obtain Eq. (10) from Eqs. (13) and (14) by noticing that $\langle \hat{p}_x^2 \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = 2m \langle \hat{T} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}$ and $\langle \hat{x}^{2\ell} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \frac{2}{k} \langle \lambda \hat{\mathcal{V}} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}$.

- d) [2 pts] We assume that $\Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)$ is a *real* wave function and that $|\Psi_{\lambda,n}(-x)|^2 = |\Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)|^2$. These assumptions are justified in questions 2. f) and g). Explain briefly why, in this case, $\langle \hat{p}_x \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \langle \hat{x} \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = 0$. Let $(\Delta A)_{\Psi} \stackrel{\text{notation}}{=} \sqrt{\langle \hat{A}^2 \rangle_{\Psi} - \langle \hat{A} \rangle_{\Psi}^2}$. Conclude, by evaluating $(\Delta p_x)_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}$ from Eq. (10), that fluctuations in the momentum can occur only if the energy $E_{\lambda,n}$ associated to $|\Psi_{\lambda,n}\rangle$ is nonzero [we recall that $\ell \neq 0$].

As readily seen from the following equation,

$$(\Delta p_x)_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}} = \sqrt{\langle \hat{p}_x^2 \rangle_{\Psi_{\lambda,n}}} \stackrel{\text{Eq. (10)}}{=} \sqrt{\frac{2m\ell}{\ell+1}} \times \sqrt{E_{\lambda,n}}, \quad (15)$$

the standard deviation from zero of the momentum vanishes (which means that there are no fluctuations) if and only if the energy $E_{\lambda,n}$ equals zero. The amplitude of the fluctuations is therefore directly connected to the value of the energy.

- e) [2 pts] We now want to describe the harmonic oscillator with spring constant k . For that purpose, which values of ℓ and λ should we use in Eq. (1)? We denote $\Psi_n := \Psi_{\lambda=1,n}$ and $E_n := E_{\lambda=1,n}$. Show that, according to Eq. (10) and question 2. d),

$$(\Delta p_x)_{\Psi_n} (\Delta x)_{\Psi_n} = \frac{E_n}{\omega}, \quad (16)$$

where $\omega = \sqrt{\frac{k}{m}}$. Explain why, according to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, the lowest (so-called ground-state) energy E_0 of the harmonic oscillator cannot be equal to zero. It can be shown that $E_0 = \hbar\omega/2$. What is remarkable in this case?

We have $\lambda = 1$ and $\ell = 1$ for a spring with constant k . Note that, according to question 2. d), $(\Delta x)_{\Psi_n} = \sqrt{\langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{\Psi_n}}$. Therefore, in this case,

$$(\Delta p_x)_{\Psi_n} (\Delta x)_{\Psi_n} \stackrel{\text{Eq. (15)}}{=} \sqrt{m} \sqrt{E_{\lambda=1,n}} \sqrt{\langle \hat{x}^2 \rangle_{\Psi_n}} \stackrel{\text{Eq. (10)}}{=} \sqrt{\frac{m}{k}} E_{\lambda=1,n} = \frac{E_n}{\omega}. \quad (17)$$

According to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle, we can conclude that

$$E_n = \omega (\Delta p_x)_{\Psi_n} (\Delta x)_{\Psi_n} \geq \hbar\omega/2. \quad (18)$$

Note that the lower bound $\hbar\omega/2$ is actually reached when the harmonic oscillator is in its ground state. In other words, in this very special case, the Heisenberg inequality becomes an equality, which is remarkable.

- f) [1 pt] We return to the general problem where λ and ℓ values are not specified. Show that the complex conjugate $\Psi_{\lambda,n}^*(x)$ of the wave function $\Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)$ is solution to the Schrödinger equation with the same energy $E_{\lambda,n}$. By considering the linear combinations $\Psi_{\lambda,n}^*(x) \pm \Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)$, conclude that it is relevant to consider *real* wave functions only, as we did in question 2. d).
- g) [1 pt] Show, by considering the particular case $\alpha = -1$ in Eq. (2), that $\Psi_{\lambda,n}(-x)$ is solution to the Schrödinger equation with the same energy $E_{\lambda,n}$ as $\Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)$. Deduce that the combinations

$\Psi_{\lambda,n}(-x) \pm \Psi_{\lambda,n}(x)$ are also solutions. Explain finally why this allows us to consider only wave functions that are either *even* [*i.e.* $\Psi(-x) = \Psi(x)$] or *odd* [*i.e.* $\Psi(-x) = -\Psi(x)$], as we did in question 2. d)