Linear response time-dependent density-functional theory

Emmanuel Fromager

Laboratoire de Chimie Quantique, Université de Strasbourg, France

fromagere@unistra.fr

Emmanuel Fromager (UdS)

Cours RFCT, pôle Est et Nord-Est

Time-dependent linear response regime

• Time-dependent Schrödinger equation:

$$\left(\hat{H} + 2\epsilon \cos(\omega t) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) \times \right) \Psi(t) = \mathrm{i} \frac{\partial \Psi(t)}{\partial t}$$

• *Perturbation expansion* through first order in ϵ (electric field *strength*, for example):

$$\Psi(t) \equiv \Psi(\epsilon, \omega, t)$$

$$= \Psi(\epsilon = 0, \omega, t) + \epsilon \frac{\partial \Psi(\epsilon, \omega, t)}{\partial \epsilon} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2})$$

$$= e^{-iE_{0}t}\Psi_{0}$$

$$-\epsilon \sum_{J>0} e^{-iE_{J}t}\Psi_{J} \left[\frac{e^{i(\omega+\omega_{J})t} - 1}{\omega + \omega_{J}} + \frac{e^{-i(\omega-\omega_{J})t} - 1}{-\omega + \omega_{J}} \right]$$

$$\times \left\langle \Psi_{J} \Big|_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}_{i}) \times \Big| \Psi_{0} \right\rangle + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2})$$

where $\omega_J = E_J - E_0$. Emmanuel Fromager (UdS)

Linear response of the density

• Time-dependent density:

$$n(\mathbf{r}, t) = N \sum_{\sigma = \pm \frac{1}{2}} \int d\mathbf{x}_2 \dots \int d\mathbf{x}_N |\Psi(\mathbf{r}, \sigma, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_N, t)|^2$$
$$\equiv n(\mathbf{r}, t, \omega, \epsilon).$$

• The perturbation expansion of the density,

$$n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \omega, \epsilon) = n_{\Psi_0}(\mathbf{r}) + \epsilon \left. \frac{\partial n(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t}, \omega, \epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2),$$

is obtained by expanding $\Psi^*(t) \times \Psi(t)$ in ϵ and integrating over $\sigma, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$.

• The exact excitation energies are poles of the time-dependent electron density.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Time-dependent DFT

• Let us consider the time-dependent Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}(t) = \hat{T} + \hat{W}_{ee} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v(\mathbf{r}_i, t) \times$$

and a fixed initial electronic wavefunction $\Psi(t=0).$

• For a given *time-dependent* potential $v(\mathbf{r}, t)$ we can solve the Schrödinger equation:

$$\hat{H}(t)\Psi(t) = i\frac{\partial\Psi(t)}{\partial t}$$

- By varying the time-dependent local potential $v(\mathbf{r}, t)$ we obtain a map of time-dependent densities $n_{\Psi[v](t)}(\mathbf{r})$ where $\Psi[v](t)$ is the solution to the time-dependent Schrödinger equation with potential $v(\mathbf{r}, t)$ and $\Psi[v](t=0) = \Psi(t=0)$.
- If two potentials $v(\mathbf{r},t)$ and $v'(\mathbf{r},t)$ differ by a time-dependent function, then $\Psi[v](t)$ and $\Psi[v'](t)$ will have the same density at any time.

Runge–Gross theorem

- According to the Runge–Gross theorem^{*}, the map of time-dependent densities can be inverted (up to an additive time-dependent function in the potential).
- This is nothing but the extension of the Hohenberg–Kohn theorem to the time-dependent regime.
- In the following, we will use the (already mentioned) potential

$$v(\mathbf{r}, t) = v_{\rm ne}(\mathbf{r}) + 2\epsilon \cos(\omega t) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}).$$

- The potential $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \mathcal{V}(x, y, z) = z$ describes the interaction with a uniform *electric field* along the z axis.
- We will assume that the system is in the ground state at time t = 0.

 *E. Runge and E. K. U. Gross, Phys. Rev. Lett. 52, 997 (1984).
 < □ → < ∂ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≧ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < ≥ → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < > → < >

Time-dependent KS equations

- Like in the static case, the non-interacting *N*-electron time-dependent Schrödinger equation can be simplified into one-electron equations.
- For example, in the case of two electrons, the exact solution can be written as

 $\Phi(\mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, t) = \varphi(\mathbf{r}_1, t)\varphi(\mathbf{r}_2, t)$

where
$$\left[-\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2_{\mathbf{r}} + v(\mathbf{r},t)\right]\varphi(\mathbf{r},t) = \mathrm{i}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\varphi(\mathbf{r},t).$$

• The basic idea in standard TD-DFT is to map in the time-dependent regime the real interacting (and therefore difficult to describe) system with Hamiltonian $\hat{H}(t)$ onto a non-interacting system, in complete analogy with KS-DFT.

• Therefore, by solving
$$\left[\hat{T} + \sum_{i=1}^{N} v^{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \times \right] \Phi^{\text{KS}}(t) = \mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Phi^{\text{KS}}(t)$$
,

where $\Phi^{\text{KS}}(t)$ is a time-dependent Slater determinant, we should be able, in principle, to reproduce the exact time-dependent electron density $n(\mathbf{r}, t)$ of the molecule.

• Therefore, for two electrons, we should have $n(\mathbf{r},t) = 2 \left| \varphi^{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r},t) \right|^2$.

Adiabatic approximation

- Consequently, if a time-dependent perturbation is applied to the molecule, the linear (and higher-order) response of the KS and real densities should be the same.
- In particular, the poles of the KS density (which correspond to the poles of the KS orbitals), should be the exact excitation energies of the true molecule (!).
- The exact time-dependent KS potential is in general (i.e. for "real" systems) *unknown* and difficult to model (memory effects).
- In the standard *adiabatic approximation*, it is simplified as follows:

$$v^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}, t) \to v_{\mathrm{ne}}(\mathbf{r}) + 2\epsilon \cos(\omega t) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{\delta E_{\mathrm{Hxc}}[n_{\Phi^{\mathrm{KS}}(t)}]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})},$$

where $E_{\text{Hxc}}[n]$ is the (time-independent) ground-state Hartree-exchange-correlation (Hxc) functional.

• Note that, within the adiabatic approximation, the KS potential is local in time (no memory effects are taken into account).

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Linear response TD-DFT

- The unperturbed problem ($\epsilon = 0$) is the *regular* time-independent KS one.
- The linear response of the KS system describes explicitly *single excitations* only:

$$\begin{split} \varphi^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}_{1},t,\epsilon)\varphi^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}_{2},t,\epsilon) &= e^{-2i\varepsilon_{0}t}\varphi_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{1})\varphi_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \\ &+\epsilon e^{-i\varepsilon_{0}t}\varphi_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{1}) \left. \frac{\partial \varphi^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}_{2},t,\epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \\ &+\epsilon e^{-i\varepsilon_{0}t}\varphi_{0}(\mathbf{r}_{2}) \left. \frac{\partial \varphi^{\mathrm{KS}}(\mathbf{r}_{1},t,\epsilon)}{\partial \epsilon} \right|_{\epsilon=0} \\ &+\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}). \end{split}$$

If we were considering the true physical time-dependent perturbation only,

$$2\epsilon \cos(\omega t) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}),$$

when solving the time-dependent KS equation, then the excitation energies (i.e. the poles) would reduce to

$$\varepsilon_j - \varepsilon_0 = \omega_{0 \to j}.$$

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

Linear response TD-DFT

- But there is *another* (important) perturbation in the KS system.
- It relates to the *update* of the time-dependent density *in the Hxc potential*.
- Within the adiabatic approximation, this additional perturbation reads as follows:

$$\begin{split} \frac{\delta E_{\text{Hxc}}\left[2\left|\varphi^{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r},t)\right|^{2}\right]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})} &- \frac{\delta E_{\text{Hxc}}\left[2\left|\varphi_{0}(\mathbf{r})\right|^{2}\right]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})}\\ &= 2\int d\mathbf{r}' f_{\text{Hxc}}(\mathbf{r}',\mathbf{r}) \times \left(\left|\varphi^{\text{KS}}(\mathbf{r},t)\right|^{2} - \left|\varphi_{0}(\mathbf{r})\right|^{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{2}), \end{split}$$

where $f_{\rm Hxc}({f r}',{f r})$ is the so-called Hxc *kernel*.

- Note that *the perturbation depends on the linear response* of the KS orbital which itself depends on the perturbation... (self-consistent perturbation theory).
- The linear response TD-DFT equation (including the kernel) is referred to as *Casida's equation**.

^{*} M. Casida in Recent Advances in Density Functional Methods, edited by D. P. Chong (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995). 🔊 🔍 🗠

Mathematical interlude on functional derivatives

• Let $f: x \mapsto f(x)$ be a function of x. The derivative of f at $x = x_0$ is obtained from the Taylor expansion (δx is a small variation of x around x_0)

$$f(x_0 + \delta x) = f(x_0) + \delta x \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x} \right|_{x=x_0} + \left. \frac{(\delta x)^2}{2} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}^2 f(x)}{\mathrm{d}x^2} \right|_{x=x_0} + \dots$$

- Let $S: n \mapsto S[n]$ be a functional of the density n. The functional derivative of S at $n = n_0$ is by definition a function of \mathbf{r} that is denoted $\frac{\delta S[n_0]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})}$. The latter is obtained from the Taylor expansion $[\delta n$ is a small deviation in density from n_0]: $S[n_0 + \delta n] = S[n_0] + \int d\mathbf{r} \frac{\delta S[n_0]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})} \times \delta n(\mathbf{r}) + \frac{1}{2} \int \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r}' \frac{\delta^2 S[n_0]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r}')} \times \delta n(\mathbf{r}') \delta n(\mathbf{r}) + \dots$
- Example: for the Hartree (or Coulomb) functional $E_{\rm H}[n] = \frac{1}{2} \int \int d\mathbf{r} d\mathbf{r'} \frac{n(\mathbf{r})n(\mathbf{r'})}{|\mathbf{r} \mathbf{r'}|}$,

$$\frac{\delta E_{\rm H}[n_0]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r})} = \int d\mathbf{r}' \frac{n_0(\mathbf{r}')}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|} \qquad \text{and} \qquad f_{\rm H}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta^2 E_{\rm H}[n_0]}{\delta n(\mathbf{r}') \delta n(\mathbf{r})} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}'|}$$

▲ロト ▲圖 ト ▲ 画 ト ▲ 画 ト の Q @

Multiple-electron excitations in TD-DFT

- Casida's equation is similar to an *eigenvalue equation*.
- The size of the matrix to diagonalize is essentially given by the number of *single excitations*.
- *Reducing* size *without* losing information:

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \omega \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \iff \begin{cases} Ax + By = \omega x \\ (C - \omega)y = -Bx \end{cases}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad \begin{cases} \boxed{A(\omega)x = \omega x} \\ A(\omega) = A - \frac{B^2}{C - \omega} \end{cases}$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad A(\omega) = \omega \end{cases}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \quad (\mathbf{A} - \omega)(C - \omega) - B^2 = 0 \quad \rightarrow \text{ two solutions!}$

 Multiple excitations can be described in principle in TD-DFT with a frequency-dependent Hxc kernel (i.e. *beyond the adiabatic approximation*).

