

Exercises on second quantization and MCSCF

ISTPC summer school, June 2022 – Lecturer: E. Fromager

1 Generalized Brillouin theorem

Like in standard (non-relativistic) quantum chemistry, *real algebra* will be used throughout the exercise, for simplicity. We focus on the orbital optimization in the MCSCF wave function that can be parameterized as follows, $|\Psi^{\text{MC}}(\boldsymbol{\kappa})\rangle = e^{-\hat{\kappa}}|\Psi_0^{\text{MC}}\rangle$, where Ψ_0^{MC} is a normalized multiconfigurational wave function, $\boldsymbol{\kappa} \equiv \{\kappa_{PQ}\}_{P<Q}$ the collection of real spin-orbital rotation parameters, and $\hat{\kappa} = \sum_{P<Q} \kappa_{PQ} (\hat{a}_P^\dagger \hat{a}_Q - \hat{a}_Q^\dagger \hat{a}_P)$ is the anti-hermitian operator that controls orbital rotations in second quantization.

- a) Show that, if Ψ_0^{MC} is the converged (energy-minimizing) MCSCF wave function, then the following stationarity condition is fulfilled,

$$0 = \left. \frac{\partial \langle \Psi^{\text{MC}}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) | \hat{H} | \Psi^{\text{MC}}(\boldsymbol{\kappa}) \rangle}{\partial \kappa_{PQ}} \right|_{\boldsymbol{\kappa}=0} = 2 \langle \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} | [\hat{a}_P^\dagger \hat{a}_Q, \hat{H}] | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle \quad (1)$$

$$= 2 \left(\langle \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} | \hat{H} \hat{a}_Q^\dagger \hat{a}_P | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle - \langle \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} | \hat{H} \hat{a}_P^\dagger \hat{a}_Q | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle \right) = 0, \quad (2)$$

which is known as generalized Brillouin theorem. The purpose of the exercise is to explain the name of the theorem and establish a clearer connection between Eq. (2) and the Fock operator that is diagonalized in the Hartree–Fock method.

- b) Show that if, for example, $Q = A$ and $P = I$ are virtual (unoccupied in Ψ_0^{MC}) and inactive (always occupied in Ψ_0^{MC}) spin-orbitals, respectively, then Eq. (2) simply reads $\langle \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} | \hat{H} \hat{a}_A^\dagger \hat{a}_I | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle = 0$. Why do we refer to Eq. (2) as *generalized* Brillouin theorem?

Comment: If $P = I$ and $Q = U$ is an active spin-orbital then $\hat{a}_P^\dagger \hat{a}_Q | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle = -\hat{a}_U \hat{a}_I^\dagger | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle = 0$ and therefore $\langle \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} | \hat{H} \hat{a}_U^\dagger \hat{a}_I | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle = 0$.

If $P = U$ and $Q = A$ then $\hat{a}_P^\dagger \hat{a}_Q | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle = \hat{a}_U^\dagger \hat{a}_A | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle = 0$ and therefore $\langle \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} | \hat{H} \hat{a}_A^\dagger \hat{a}_U | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle = 0$.

2 Commutators of strings of creation and annihilation operators

- a) Verify the relations

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B} + \hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] + [\hat{A}, \hat{C}] \quad (3)$$

and

$$[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \hat{C} + \hat{B} [\hat{A}, \hat{C}] = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_+ \hat{C} - \hat{B} [\hat{A}, \hat{C}]_+ = [\hat{A}, \hat{B}\hat{C}], \quad (4)$$

where $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = \hat{A}\hat{B} - \hat{B}\hat{A}$ and $[\hat{A}, \hat{B}]_+ = \hat{A}\hat{B} + \hat{B}\hat{A}$ are the commutator and anti-commutator operators of \hat{A} and \hat{B} , respectively.

- b) Evaluate the anti-commutators $[\hat{a}_I, \hat{a}_J]_+$, $[\hat{a}_I^\dagger, \hat{a}_J^\dagger]_+$, and $[\hat{a}_I^\dagger, \hat{a}_J]_+$ by applying the rules of second quantization.
- c) Explain the following simplifications on the basis of Eq. 4)

$$[\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L] = [\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_K^\dagger] \hat{a}_L + \hat{a}_K^\dagger [\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_L] \quad (5)$$

$$= -[\hat{a}_K^\dagger, \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J] \hat{a}_L - \hat{a}_K^\dagger [\hat{a}_L, \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J] \quad (6)$$

$$= -[\hat{a}_K^\dagger, \hat{a}_I^\dagger]_+ \hat{a}_J \hat{a}_L + \hat{a}_I^\dagger [\hat{a}_K^\dagger, \hat{a}_J]_+ \hat{a}_L - \hat{a}_K^\dagger [\hat{a}_L, \hat{a}_I^\dagger]_+ \hat{a}_J + \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_I^\dagger [\hat{a}_L, \hat{a}_J]_+. \quad (7)$$

- d) Deduce from question 1. b) that

$$[\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L] = \delta_{JK} \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_L - \delta_{IL} \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_J. \quad (8)$$

- e) Similarly, show step by step that

$$[\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_M] = [\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N] \hat{a}_M + \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N [\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_M] \quad (9)$$

$$= [\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger] \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_M - \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger [\hat{a}_N, \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J] \hat{a}_M - \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N [\hat{a}_M, \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J] \quad (10)$$

$$= -[\hat{a}_K^\dagger, \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J] \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_M - \hat{a}_K^\dagger [\hat{a}_L^\dagger, \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J] \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_M \\ - \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger [\hat{a}_N, \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J] \hat{a}_M - \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N [\hat{a}_M, \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J], \quad (11)$$

and conclude, by analogy with question 1. c), that

$$[\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J, \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_M] = \delta_{JK} \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_M + \delta_{JL} \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_M - \delta_{IN} \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_J \hat{a}_M - \delta_{IM} \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_J. \quad (12)$$

3 Generalized Fock matrix

The purpose of this exercise is to make the stationarity condition of Eq. (1) more explicit.

a) From the second-quantized expression of the Hamiltonian,

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{KL} h_{KL} \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{KLMN} \langle KL|MN \rangle \hat{a}_K^\dagger \hat{a}_L^\dagger \hat{a}_N \hat{a}_M, \quad (13)$$

where

$$h_{KL} = \int dX \varphi_K(X) \hat{h} \varphi_L(X) \quad \text{and} \quad \langle KL|MN \rangle = \int dX_1 \int dX_2 \frac{\varphi_K(X_1) \varphi_L(X_2) \varphi_M(X_1) \varphi_N(X_2)}{|\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2|}, \quad (14)$$

show that, according to Eqs. (3), (8), (12), and (1),

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{KL} h_{KL} (\delta_{QK} \gamma_{PL} - \delta_{PL} \gamma_{KQ}) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{KLMN} \langle KL|MN \rangle (\delta_{QK} \Gamma_{PLNM} + \delta_{QL} \Gamma_{KPNM} - \delta_{PN} \Gamma_{KLQM} - \delta_{PM} \Gamma_{KLNQ}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

or, equivalently,

$$F_{QP} - F_{PQ} = 0, \quad \forall P < Q, \quad (16)$$

where

$$F_{PQ} = \sum_L h_{PL} \gamma_{LQ} + \sum_{LMN} \langle PL|MN \rangle \Gamma_{QLNM} \quad (17)$$

is referred to as generalized Fock matrix element,

$$\gamma_{PQ} = \langle \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} | \hat{a}_P^\dagger \hat{a}_Q | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle \quad (18)$$

and

$$\Gamma_{PQRS} = \langle \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} | \hat{a}_P^\dagger \hat{a}_Q^\dagger \hat{a}_R \hat{a}_S | \Psi_0^{\text{MC}} \rangle \quad (19)$$

are the one- and two-electron reduced density matrix elements, respectively.

Hints: Note that

$$h_{LP} = h_{LP}^* = h_{PL}, \gamma_{PL} = \gamma_{PL}^* = \gamma_{LP}, \quad (20)$$

$$\langle KQ|MN \rangle = \langle QK|NM \rangle, \Gamma_{KPNM} = \Gamma_{PKMN}, \quad (21)$$

$$\langle KL|MP \rangle = \langle MP|KL \rangle = \langle PM|LK \rangle, \Gamma_{KLQM} = \Gamma_{KLQM}^* = \Gamma_{MQLK} = \Gamma_{QMKL}, \quad (22)$$

$$\langle KL|PN \rangle = \langle PN|KL \rangle, \Gamma_{KLNQ} = \Gamma_{KLNQ}^* = \Gamma_{QNLK}. \quad (23)$$

b) HF equations can be recovered within the present MCSCF formalism by using a single determinantal wave function rather than a multiconfigurational one:

$$|\Psi_0^{\text{MC}}\rangle \rightarrow |\Phi_0^{\text{HF}}\rangle = \prod_I^{\text{occ.}} \hat{a}_I^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle. \quad (24)$$

Explain why, in this case, γ_{PQ} and Γ_{PQRS} are non-zero only if P , Q , R , and S are all occupied spin-orbitals (that we denote I , J , K , or L) with

$$\gamma_{IJ} = \delta_{IJ} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{IJKL} = \gamma_{JK}\gamma_{IL} - \gamma_{JL}\gamma_{IK}. \quad (25)$$

c) Deduce from Eqs. (17) and (25) that, in this case, Eq. (16) simply reads

$$f_{AI} = 0, \quad (26)$$

where

$$f_{AI} = h_{AI} + \sum_J^{\text{occ.}} \left(\langle AJ|IJ \rangle - \langle AJ|JI \rangle \right) \equiv \langle \varphi_A | \hat{f} | \varphi_I \rangle \quad (27)$$

and A denotes a virtual (unoccupied) spin-orbital in Φ_0^{HF} . Is Eq. (26) consistent with the diagonalization of the regular Fock matrix that is performed in HF calculations?