
Exam in Advanced Quantum Chemistry – M2 course

January 2025, Two-hour exam

Lecturer: *Emmanuel Fromager*

1. Essay [10 points]

Write a structured and concise essay on the topic “Electron correlation and Chemistry”. *What is electron correlation? Why do chemists refer to different types of correlations in electronic structure theory? Quantum mechanics relies on a single fundamental equation, the Schrödinger equation. Nevertheless, there is a plethora of theories and methods in quantum chemistry. How is that possible?* These are the questions you are expected to address in your essay, with a particular focus on accuracy versus computational feasibility. You are encouraged to illustrate your prose with well-explained and enlightening equations.

2. Exercise: Quantum embedding of molecular fragments [13 points]

The present exercise is an intuitive introduction to *density matrix embedding theory* (DMET), a theory that was proposed in 2012 by Knizia and Chan [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **109**, 186404 (2012)] as an efficient strategy for computing large electronic systems “piece-by-piece”. We will assume throughout the exercise that the full system under study is described at the Hartree–Fock (HF) level of approximation. **Real algebra will be used.**

a) We denote $\{\varphi_I\}_{1 \leq I \leq N}$ the orthonormal set of occupied canonical HF molecular spin-orbitals. The corresponding N -electron Slater determinant reads $|\Phi\rangle = \prod_{I=1}^N \hat{a}_I^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle$ in second quantization. As further explained in the following, it is essential, in order to achieve the quantum embedding of a molecular fragment (i.e., a part of the full system), to use another orthonormal basis $\{\tilde{\varphi}_I\}_{1 \leq I \leq N}$. The two bases are connected through a unitary transformation that reads as follows in second quantization,

$$|\Phi\rangle \equiv |\varphi_1 \varphi_2 \dots \varphi_N| \rightarrow |\tilde{\Phi}\rangle \equiv |\tilde{\varphi}_1 \tilde{\varphi}_2 \dots \tilde{\varphi}_N| = \prod_{I=1}^N \hat{a}_I^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle = e^{-\hat{\kappa}} |\Phi\rangle, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\kappa} = \sum_{1 \leq I < J \leq N} \kappa_{IJ} (\hat{a}_I^\dagger \hat{a}_J - \hat{a}_J^\dagger \hat{a}_I)$. [1 pt] Explain why $\hat{\kappa} |\Phi\rangle = 0$ and conclude that $|\tilde{\Phi}\rangle = |\Phi\rangle$. We recall that $e^{-\hat{\kappa}} = \sum_{p=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(-\hat{\kappa})^p}{p!}$.

b) We consider in the following an arbitrary fragment \mathcal{F} of the full system, which is defined mathematically as the one-electron Hilbert subspace consisting of L_{frag} (where $L_{\text{frag}} \ll N$) orthonormal spin-orbitals, i.e., $\mathcal{F} = \left\{ |\chi_F\rangle \equiv \hat{c}_F^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle \right\}_{1 \leq F \leq L_{\text{frag}}}$, these spin-orbitals being essentially localized in the spatial region of that fragment. The remaining localized spin-orbitals $\{|\chi_E\rangle\}_{E > L_{\text{frag}}}$ span the fragment’s environment, i.e., the rest of the system. The (one-electron reduced) density matrix elements *in the localized spin-orbital basis* read $D_{PQ} = \langle \tilde{\Phi} | \hat{c}_P^\dagger \hat{c}_Q | \tilde{\Phi} \rangle$, where $\hat{c}_P^\dagger = \sum_{I=1}^N \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \chi_P \rangle \hat{a}_I^\dagger$ and $\hat{c}_Q = \sum_{J=1}^N \langle \tilde{\varphi}_J | \chi_Q \rangle \hat{a}_J$. [1 pt] Show that

$$D_{PQ} = \sum_{I=1}^N \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \chi_P \rangle \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \chi_Q \rangle. \quad (2)$$

- c) We now define explicitly the first L_{frag} rotated occupied spin-orbitals $\{\tilde{\varphi}_I\}_{1 \leq I \leq L_{\text{frag}}}$ by projecting the fragment spin-orbitals onto the complete space of occupied HF spin-orbitals,

$$|u_F\rangle_{1 \leq F \leq L_{\text{frag}}} \equiv \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{occ}} |\chi_F\rangle, \quad \text{where } \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{occ}} = \sum_{I=1}^N |\tilde{\varphi}_I\rangle \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I| = \sum_{I=1}^N |\varphi_I\rangle \langle \varphi_I|, \quad (3)$$

and orthonormalizing the projections, thus leading to

$$|\tilde{\varphi}_I\rangle_{1 \leq I \leq L_{\text{frag}}} \equiv \sum_{F=1}^{L_{\text{frag}}} [\mathbf{S}^{-1/2}]_{IF} |u_F\rangle, \quad (4)$$

where the $L_{\text{frag}} \times L_{\text{frag}}$ overlap matrix \mathbf{S} is defined through its elements as follows, $[\mathbf{S}]_{FF'} = \langle u_F | u_{F'} \rangle$. [2 pts] Show that the projector onto the corresponding ‘‘fragment occupied’’ subspace $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{occ}} = \sum_{I=1}^{L_{\text{frag}}} |\tilde{\varphi}_I\rangle \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I|$ reads

$$\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{occ}} = \sum_{F=1}^{L_{\text{frag}}} \sum_{F'=1}^{L_{\text{frag}}} [\mathbf{S}^{-1}]_{FF'} |u_F\rangle \langle u_{F'}|, \quad (5)$$

and check that $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{occ}} |u_G\rangle = |u_G\rangle$, $1 \leq G \leq L_{\text{frag}}$. We recall that the elements of the matrix product \mathbf{AB} of two real Hermitian matrices \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{B} are evaluated as follows, $[\mathbf{AB}]_{FF'} = \sum_I [\mathbf{A}]_{FI} [\mathbf{B}]_{IF'} = \sum_I [\mathbf{A}]_{IF} [\mathbf{B}]_{IF'}$.

- d) [1 pt] Show that the overlap $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \chi_F \rangle$ between a rotated occupied spin-orbital $\tilde{\varphi}_I$ ($1 \leq I \leq N$) and a fragment one χ_F ($1 \leq F \leq L_{\text{frag}}$) can be written equivalently as follows, $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \chi_F \rangle = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | u_F \rangle$. For that purpose, use Eq. (3), and the fact that $|\tilde{\varphi}_I\rangle = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{occ}} |\varphi_I\rangle$ and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{occ}}$ is Hermitian.
- e) [2 pts] The projection operator $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{core}} = \sum_{I > L_{\text{frag}}}^N |\tilde{\varphi}_I\rangle \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I|$ onto the complementary part of the occupied spin-orbital space (the so-called ‘‘core’’ subspace) is simply determined as follows, $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{core}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{occ}} - \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{\text{occ}}$. Deduce from questions 2. c) and d) that the core and fragment spin-orbitals do not overlap, i.e., $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \chi_F \rangle_{L_{\text{frag}} < I \leq N} = \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{core}} | u_F \rangle = 0$. For that purpose, use the fact that $|\tilde{\varphi}_I\rangle_{L_{\text{frag}} < I \leq N} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{core}} |\varphi_I\rangle$, $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{core}}$ is Hermitian, and $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{occ}}^2 = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\text{occ}}$.
- f) [3 pts] Deduce from questions 2. b) and e) that the density matrix elements involving at least one fragment spin-orbital index F can be evaluated exactly from the L_{frag} -electron Slater determinant $|\tilde{\Phi}_{\text{cluster}}\rangle = \prod_{I=1}^{L_{\text{frag}}} \hat{a}_I^\dagger | \text{vac} \rangle$ as follows, $D_{FQ} = \sum_{1 \leq F' \leq L_{\text{frag}}} \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \chi_F \rangle \langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | \chi_Q \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{cluster}} | \hat{c}_F^\dagger \hat{c}_Q | \tilde{\Phi}_{\text{cluster}} \rangle$. Why is this a remarkable result? Why are $\{\tilde{\varphi}_I\}_{L_{\text{frag}} < I \leq N}$ referred to as core spin-orbitals?
- g) [BONUS] In order to determine variationally the ‘‘fragment occupied’’ spin-orbitals $\{\tilde{\varphi}_I\}_{1 \leq I \leq L_{\text{frag}}}$, we can distribute the ‘‘active’’ L_{frag} electrons among the L_{frag} spin-orbitals of the fragment \mathcal{F} plus the L_{frag} orthonormalized (so-called *bath*) spin-orbitals $\{\tilde{\psi}_B\}_{1 \leq B \leq L_{\text{frag}}}$ that are obtained by projection (and orthonormalization) onto the fragment’s environment (see question 2. b)), i.e., $|\tilde{\psi}_B\rangle_{1 \leq B \leq L_{\text{frag}}} \equiv \sum_{F=1}^{L_{\text{frag}}} [\mathbf{B}^{-1/2}]_{BF} |v_F\rangle$, where $[\mathbf{B}]_{FF'} = \langle v_F | v_{F'} \rangle$ and $|v_F\rangle = \sum_{E > L_{\text{frag}}}^N \langle \chi_E | u_F \rangle | \chi_E \rangle$. The resulting $2L_{\text{frag}}$ -dimensional ‘‘fragment+bath’’ spin-orbital space is referred to as *embedding cluster*. [3 pts] Show that, according to Eqs. (2) and (3), the bath spin-orbitals can be determined directly from the density matrix as follows, $|v_F\rangle = \sum_{E > L_{\text{frag}}}^N D_{EF} | \chi_E \rangle$. Check that the bath is orthogonal to the core, i.e., $\langle \tilde{\varphi}_I | v_F \rangle_{L_{\text{frag}} < I \leq N} = 0$. For that purpose, use the alternative expression $|v_F\rangle = |u_F\rangle - \sum_{F'=1}^{L_{\text{frag}}} \langle \chi_{F'} | u_F \rangle | \chi_{F'} \rangle$ with questions 2. d) and e). How could the present embedding scheme be exploited for performing (approximate) large-scale correlated computations?