Solvers for DMFT: Continuous Time Quantum Monte Carlo.

Bernard Amadon
CEA, DAM, DIF
Université Paris-Saclay, CEA, LMCE
Bruyères-le-Châtel, France

ISTPC 2024 - Aussois

$$H_{\mathrm{atom}} = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow = \varepsilon_0 d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow + \varepsilon_0 d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\downarrow + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow$$

Possible states are:

$$|0\rangle = \quad |00\rangle$$
$$|\uparrow\rangle = \quad |10\rangle$$
$$|\downarrow\rangle = \quad |01\rangle$$
$$|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = \quad |11\rangle$$

Short summary of second quantization (1): the isolated atom

$$H_{\mathrm{atom}} = \sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_0 d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow} = \varepsilon_0 d_{\uparrow}^{\dagger} d_{\uparrow} + \varepsilon_0 d_{\downarrow}^{\dagger} d_{\downarrow} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}$$

Possible states are:

$|0\rangle = \quad |00\rangle$
$|\uparrow\rangle = \quad |10\rangle$
$|\downarrow\rangle = \quad |01\rangle$
$|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = \quad |11\rangle$

$d_{\uparrow}$ suppress an electron $\uparrow$, thus

$d_{\uparrow}|00\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_{\uparrow}|10\rangle \quad = |00\rangle$
$d_{\uparrow}|01\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_{\uparrow}|11\rangle \quad = |01\rangle$

Short summary of second quantization (1): the isolated atom

$$H_{\text{atom}} = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow = \varepsilon_0 d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow + \varepsilon_0 d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\downarrow + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow$$

Possible states are:

$|0\rangle = \quad |00\rangle$
$|\uparrow\rangle = \quad |10\rangle$
$|\downarrow\rangle = \quad |01\rangle$
$|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = \quad |11\rangle$

$d_\uparrow$ suppress an electron $\uparrow$, thus

$d_\uparrow|00\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow|10\rangle \quad = |00\rangle$
$d_\uparrow|01\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow|11\rangle \quad = |01\rangle$

$d_\uparrow^\dagger$ creates an electron $\uparrow$, thus

$d_\uparrow^\dagger|00\rangle \quad = |10\rangle$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|10\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|01\rangle \quad = |11\rangle$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|11\rangle \quad = 0$

Short summary of second quantization (1): the isolated atom

$$H_{\text{atom}} = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow = \varepsilon_0 d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow + \varepsilon_0 d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\downarrow + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow$$

Possible states are:

$|0\rangle = \quad |00\rangle$
$|\uparrow\rangle = \quad |10\rangle$
$|\downarrow\rangle = \quad |01\rangle$
$|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = \quad |11\rangle$

$d_\uparrow$ suppress an electron ↑, thus

$d_\uparrow|00\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow|10\rangle \quad = |00\rangle$
$d_\uparrow|01\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow|11\rangle \quad = |01\rangle$

$d_\uparrow^\dagger$ creates an electron ↑, thus

$d_\uparrow^\dagger|00\rangle \quad = |10\rangle$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|10\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|01\rangle \quad = |11\rangle$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|11\rangle \quad = 0$

$n_\uparrow = d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow$ gives the number of electron ↑:

$\langle 00|n_\uparrow|00\rangle \quad = \langle 00|d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow|00\rangle \quad = 0$
$\langle 10|n_\uparrow|10\rangle \quad = \langle 10|d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow|10\rangle \quad = \langle 10|d_\uparrow^\dagger|00\rangle \quad = \langle 10|10\rangle \quad = 1$
$\langle 01|n_\uparrow|01\rangle \quad = \langle 01|d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow|01\rangle \quad = 0$
$\langle 11|n_\uparrow|11\rangle \quad = \langle 11|d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow|11\rangle \quad = \langle 11|d_\uparrow^\dagger|01\rangle \quad = \langle 11|11\rangle \quad = 1$

$$H_{\text{atom}} = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow = \varepsilon_0 d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow + \varepsilon_0 d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\downarrow + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow$$

Possible states are:

$|0\rangle = \quad |00\rangle$
$|\uparrow\rangle = \quad |10\rangle$
$|\downarrow\rangle = \quad |01\rangle$
$|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = \quad |11\rangle$

$d_\uparrow$ suppress an electron $\uparrow$, thus

$d_\uparrow|00\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow|10\rangle \quad = |00\rangle$
$d_\uparrow|01\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow|11\rangle \quad = |01\rangle$

$d_\uparrow^\dagger$ creates an electron $\uparrow$, thus

$d_\uparrow^\dagger|00\rangle \quad = |10\rangle$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|10\rangle \quad = 0$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|01\rangle \quad = |11\rangle$
$d_\uparrow^\dagger|11\rangle \quad = 0$

$n_\uparrow = d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow$ gives the number of electron $\uparrow$:

$\langle 00|n_\uparrow|00\rangle \quad = \langle 00|d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow|00\rangle \quad = 0$
$\langle 10|n_\uparrow|10\rangle \quad = \langle 10|d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow|10\rangle \quad = \langle 10|d_\uparrow^\dagger|00\rangle \quad = \langle 10|10\rangle \quad = 1$
$\langle 01|n_\uparrow|01\rangle \quad = \langle 01|d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow|01\rangle \quad = 0$
$\langle 11|n_\uparrow|11\rangle \quad = \langle 11|d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\uparrow|11\rangle \quad = \langle 11|d_\uparrow^\dagger|01\rangle \quad = \langle 11|11\rangle \quad = 1$

$n_\downarrow n_\uparrow = 1$ if one electron is present in $\uparrow$ and one in $\downarrow$

$\langle 00|n_\downarrow n_\uparrow|00\rangle \quad = 0$
$\langle 10|n_\downarrow n_\uparrow|10\rangle \quad = \langle 10|n_\downarrow|00\rangle \quad = \langle 10|01\rangle \quad = 0$
$\langle 01|n_\downarrow n_\uparrow|01\rangle \quad = 0$
$\langle 11|n_\downarrow n_\uparrow|11\rangle \quad = \langle 11|n_\downarrow|01\rangle \quad = \langle 11|11\rangle \quad = 1$

Short summary of second quantization (2)

Anticommutation relation, because of the antisymmetry of wavefunction

$$|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle = -|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow^\dagger |00\rangle = -d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\uparrow^\dagger |00\rangle \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_\uparrow^\dagger d_\downarrow^\dagger = -d_\downarrow^\dagger d_\uparrow^\dagger$$

Isolated atom: exact solution

One can compute the energy as a function of the number of electrons:

| Configuration	—	$\uparrow$	$\downarrow$	$\downarrow\uparrow$
Energy	0	ε_0	ε_0	$2\varepsilon_0 + U$

One needs an energy ε_0 to go from 0 to 1 electron.
One needs an energy $\varepsilon_0 + U$ to go from 1 to 2 electron.
$\Rightarrow$ Spectral function for the d-electron are formed by Hubbard bands

$1 \rightarrow 2 \quad\quad \varepsilon_0 + U$

U

$0 \rightarrow 1 \quad\quad \varepsilon_0$

The Anderson Hamiltonian

The Anderson Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{Anderson}} = \underbrace{\sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_k c^\dagger_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}}_{H_{0a}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_0 d^\dagger_\sigma d_\sigma + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow}_{H_{0b}}$$

The Anderson Hamiltonian

$$H_{\text{Anderson}} = \underbrace{\sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}}_{H_{0a}} + \underbrace{\sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow}_{H_{0b}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k\sigma} \left(V_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma + V_k^* d_\sigma^\dagger c_{k\sigma} \right)}_{H_{\text{hyb}}}$$

Solvers for Anderson model

- Some Solvers require a discretization of the bath
  - Exact Diagonalization (= CI)
  - Density matrix renormalization group (DMRG)
  - Real time solvers, costly
- Continuous Time Quantum Monte Carlo
  - Imaginary Time, need analytical continuation to compute frequency dependant quantities.
  - Easily parallelized
  - Can compute energy and spectra.
  - Sign problem

cea

The Anderson Hamiltonian (solved by CTQMC)

H_{0a} H_{0b}

In the figure: W, $\varepsilon_0 + U$, ε_0

The Anderson Hamiltonian (solved by CTQMC)

The Anderson Hamiltonian (solved by CTQMC)

$$H_{\mathrm{Anderson}} = \underbrace{\sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_k c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} c_{k\sigma}}_{H_{0a}} + \underbrace{\sum_{\sigma} \varepsilon_0 d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} d_{\sigma} + U n_{\uparrow} n_{\downarrow}}_{H_{0b}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k\sigma} \left(V_k c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} d_{\sigma} + V_k^* d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{k\sigma} \right)}_{H_{\mathrm{hyb}}}$$

The Anderson Hamiltonian (solved by CTQMC)

H_{0a} H_{hyb} H_{0b}

$$H_{\mathrm{Anderson}} = \underbrace{\sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}}_{H_{0a}} + \underbrace{\sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow}_{H_{0b}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k\sigma} \left(V_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma + V_k^* d_\sigma^\dagger c_{k\sigma} \right)}_{H_{\mathrm{hyb}}}$$

The main idea is that the atomic problem can be solved exactly and the bath problem can be solved exactly.
Continuous Time Quantum Monte Carlo: Expansion as a function of H_{hyb}

[P. Werner, A. Comanac, L. de medici, M. Troyer and A. J. Millis Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 076405 (2006)]

Notations

The Anderson impurity model.

$$H_{\text{AIM}} = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma \qquad \text{(Energy of the correlated level)}$$

$$+ U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow \qquad \text{(Interaction between up and dn orbitals)}$$

$$+ \sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma} \qquad \text{(levels of the Bath)}$$

$$+ \sum_{k\sigma} \left(V_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma + V_k^* d_\sigma^\dagger c_{k\sigma} \right) (= H_{\text{hyb}}) \qquad \text{(Hybridization)}$$

$$H_0 = \underbrace{\sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_\uparrow n_\downarrow}_{\boxed{H_d}} + \underbrace{\sum_{k\sigma} \varepsilon_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger c_{k\sigma}}_{\boxed{H_c}}$$

$$H_{\text{hyb}} = \sum_{k\sigma} \left(V_k c_{k\sigma}^\dagger d_\sigma + V_k^* d_\sigma^\dagger c_{k\sigma} \right)$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta H} \right]$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

Let's define $A(\beta) = e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}$

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}A(\beta)\right]$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}\right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{+\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}\right]$$

Let's define $A(\beta) = \mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}$

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0}A(\beta)\right]$$

One can calculate $A(\beta)$ as

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} \quad = \quad \frac{d[\mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}]}{d\beta} = H_0\mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H} - \mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}H\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

Let's define $A(\beta) = e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}$

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}A(\beta)\right]$$

One can calculate $A(\beta)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} &= \frac{d[e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}]}{d\beta} = H_0 e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H} - e^{\beta H_0}H e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H - H_0)e^{-\beta H}
\end{aligned}
$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}\right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{+\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}\right]$$

Let's define $A(\beta) = \mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}$

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0} A(\beta)\right]$$

One can calculate $A(\beta)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} &= \frac{d[\mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}]}{d\beta} = H_0\mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H} - \mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}H\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H} \\
&= -\mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}(H - H_0)\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H} \\
&= -\mathrm{e}^{\beta H_0}(H_{\mathrm{hyb}})\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}
\end{aligned}
$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta H} \right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $e^{-\beta H_0} e^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta H_0} e^{+\beta H_0} e^{-\beta H} \right]$$

Let's define $A(\beta) = e^{\beta H_0} e^{-\beta H}$

$$Z = \text{Tr} \left[e^{-\beta H_0} A(\beta) \right]$$

One can calculate $A(\beta)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} &= \frac{d[e^{\beta H_0} e^{-\beta H}]}{d\beta} = H_0 e^{\beta H_0} e^{-\beta H} - e^{\beta H_0} H e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0} (H - H_0) e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0} (H_{\text{hyb}}) e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0} (H_{\text{hyb}}) e^{-\beta H_0} \times e^{\beta H_0} e^{-\beta H}
\end{aligned}
$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

Let's define $A(\beta) = e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}$

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}A(\beta)\right]$$

One can calculate $A(\beta)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} &= \frac{d[e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}]}{d\beta} = H_0 e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H} - e^{\beta H_0}He^{-\beta H}\\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H - H_0)e^{-\beta H}\\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H_{\text{hyb}})e^{-\beta H}\\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H_{\text{hyb}})e^{-\beta H_0} \times e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}\\
&= -\left[e^{+\beta H_0}H_{\text{hyb}}e^{-\beta H_0}\right]A(\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

Let's define $A(\beta) = e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}$

$$Z = \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}A(\beta)\right]$$

One can calculate $A(\beta)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} &= \frac{d[e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}]}{d\beta} = H_0 e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H} - e^{\beta H_0}H e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H - H_0)e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H_{\mathrm{hyb}})e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H_{\mathrm{hyb}})e^{-\beta H_0} \times e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -\left[e^{+\beta H_0}H_{\mathrm{hyb}}e^{-\beta H_0}\right]A(\beta) \\
&= -\left[H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\beta)\right]A(\beta)
\end{aligned}
$$

The partition function can be written as

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

In order to make a development in H_{hyb}, one can write directly (because $e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0} = 1$)

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}e^{+\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}\right]$$

Let's define $A(\beta) = e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}$

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}A(\beta)\right]$$

One can calculate $A(\beta)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} &= \frac{d[e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H}]}{d\beta} = H_0 e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H} - e^{\beta H_0}He^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H - H_0)e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H_{\text{hyb}})e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -e^{\beta H_0}(H_{\text{hyb}})e^{-\beta H_0} \times e^{\beta H_0}e^{-\beta H} \\
&= -\left[e^{+\beta H_0}H_{\text{hyb}}e^{-\beta H_0}\right]A(\beta) \\
&= -[H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)]\,A(\beta) \qquad \text{(Heisenberg representation (cf lecture par F. Bruneval) but for imaginary time)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This differential equation, where the variable is β, can be solved, taking into account that A and H are operators.

Let's first remind the solution for a similar equation for a simple function

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = -V(x)f(x)$$

Let's first remind the solution for a similar equation for a simple function

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = -V(x)f(x)$$

We thus have:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_1)dx_1$$

Let's first remind the solution for a similar equation for a simple function

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = -V(x)f(x)$$

We thus have:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_1)dx_1$$

Using this expression inside the integral, we have

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)\left[f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x_1} -V(x_2)f(x_2)dx_2\right]dx_1$$

Let's first remind the solution for a similar equation for a simple function

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = -V(x)f(x)$$

We thus have:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_1)dx_1$$

Using this expression inside the integral, we have

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)\left[f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x_1} -V(x_2)f(x_2)dx_2\right]dx_1$$

So that:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + (-1)^2\int_{x_0}^{x} V(x_1)\int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_2)f(x_2)dx_1dx_2$$

Let's first remind the solution for a similar equation for a simple function

$$\frac{df(x)}{dx} = -V(x)f(x)$$

We thus have:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_1)dx_1$$

Using this expression inside the integral, we have

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)\left[f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x_1} -V(x_2)f(x_2)dx_2 \right]dx_1$$

So that:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + (-1)^2 \int_{x_0}^{x} V(x_1) \int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_2)f(x_2)dx_1 dx_2$$

At order three:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + (-1)^2 \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1 dx_2 + (-1)^3 \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{x_0}^{x_2} \dots$$

At order three:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + (-1)^2 \int_{x_0}^{x}\int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1dx_2 + (-1)^3 \int_{x_0}^{x}\int_{x_0}^{x_1}\int_{x_0}^{x_2} \ldots$$

In this last equation, $x_2 < x_1$. As the integrand of the term is symmetric in x_1 and x_2, it can be rewritten as

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \int_{x_0}^{x}\int_{x_0}^{x} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1dx_2 + (-1)^3 \int_{x_0}^{x}\int_{x_0}^{x_1}\int_{x_0}^{x_2} \ldots$$

where in this case x_1 and x_2 are not related.

At order three:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + (-1)^2 \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1\,dx_2 + (-1)^3 \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{x_0}^{x_2} \ldots$$

In this last equation, $x_2 < x_1$. As the integrand of the term is symmetric in x_1 and x_2, it can be rewritten as

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1\,dx_2 + (-1)^3 \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{x_0}^{x_2} \ldots$$

where in this case x_1 and x_2 are not related.

$$\int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1\,dx_2 = \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_1}^{x} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1\,dx_2$$

$V(x_b)V(x_a))$

do commute !

$V(x_a)V(x_b)$

At order three:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + (-1)^2 \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1 dx_2 + (-1)^3 \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{x_0}^{x_2} \ldots$$

In this last equation, $x_2 < x_1$. As the integrand of the term is symmetric in x_1 and x_2, it can be rewritten as

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x_1)f(x_0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1 dx_2 + (-1)^3 \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} \int_{x_0}^{x_2} \ldots$$

where in this case x_1 and x_2 are not related.

$$\int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1 dx_2 = \int_{x_0}^{x} \int_{x_1}^{x} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1 dx_2$$

$V(x_b)V(x_a))$

do commute !

$V(x_a)V(x_b)$

We end with an infinite summation such as:

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_{x_0}^{x} dx_1 \ldots \int_{x_0}^{x} dx_k V(x_1)\ldots V(x_k)f(x_0) = f(x_0) \exp\left[\int_{x_0}^{x} -V(x)dx\right]$$

Hybridization expansion

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = e^{-\tau H_0}e^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

Hybridization expansion

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}\mathrm{e}^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

$$A(\beta) = A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + (-1)^2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^{\tau_1} H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 ...$$

Hybridization expansion

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = e^{-\tau H_0} e^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

$$A(\beta) = A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + (-1)^2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^{\tau_1} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 ...$$

$$f(x) = f(x_0) + \int_{x_0}^x aV(x_1)f(x_0) + a^2 \int_{x_0}^x \int_{x_0}^{x_1} V(x_1)V(x_2)f(x_0)dx_1 dx_2 + a^3 ...$$

Hybridization expansion

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = e^{-\tau H_0} e^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

$$A(\beta) = A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + (-1)^2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^{\tau_1} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 ...$$

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \int_0^\beta \int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 ...$$

Hybridization expansion

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = e^{-\tau H_0}e^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

$$A(\beta) = A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + (-1)^2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^{\tau_1} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 \ldots$$

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \int_0^\beta \int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 \ldots$$

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

Hybridization expansion

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = e^{-\tau H_0}e^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

$$A(\beta) = A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + (-1)^2\int_0^\beta\int_0^{\tau_1} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2}\int_0^\beta\int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

Hybridization expansion

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = e^{-\tau H_0}e^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

$$A(\beta) = A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + (-1)^2\int_0^\beta\int_0^{\tau_1} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2}\int_0^\beta\int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

Hybridization expansion

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = e^{-\tau H_0}e^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

$$A(\beta) = A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + (-1)^2\int_0^\beta\int_0^{\tau_1} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2}\int_0^\beta\int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_b)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_a)$

$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_a)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_b)$

This demonstration can be generalized to the case of matrices

$$\frac{dA(\beta)}{d\beta} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\beta)A(\beta)$$

We call τ an arbitrary value of β: $A(\tau) = e^{-\tau H_0} e^{-\tau H}$

$$\frac{dA(\tau)}{d\tau} = -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)A(\tau)$$

$$A(\beta) = A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + (-1)^2 \int_0^\beta \int_0^{\tau_1} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 ...$$

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \int_0^\beta \int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 ...$$

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_b)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_a)$
do not commute !
$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_a)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_b)$

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \int_0^\beta \int_0^\beta H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3 ...$$

Hybridization expansion and time ordering

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2}\int_0^\beta\int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

Because

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

Hybridization expansion and time ordering

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2}\int_0^\beta\int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

Because

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

Let's define the time ordering operator $\mathcal{T}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] = H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2) \quad \tau_2 < \tau_1$$

$$\mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] = H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1) \quad \tau_2 > \tau_1$$

cea

Hybridization expansion and time ordering

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2}\int_0^\beta \int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

Because

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

Let's define the time ordering operator $\mathcal{T}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] = H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2) \quad \tau_2 < \tau_1$$

$$\mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] = H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1) \quad \tau_2 > \tau_1$$

It solves the commutation issue and thus:

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 \mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

$$A(\beta) \neq A(0) + \int_0^\beta -H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)A(0) + \frac{(-1)^2}{2} \int_0^\beta \int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)A(0)d\tau_1 d\tau_2 + (-1)^3...$$

Because

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] \neq \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 [H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

Let's define the time ordering operator $\mathcal{T}$ such that:

$$\mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] = H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2) \quad \tau_2 < \tau_1$$

$$\mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] = H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1) \quad \tau_2 > \tau_1$$

It solves the commutation issue and thus:

$$\int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^{\tau_1} d\tau_2 \mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)] = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_{\tau_1}^\beta d\tau_2 \mathcal{T}[H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_2)]$$

One can thus write the whole serie as

$$A(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \mathcal{T} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k) = \boxed{\mathcal{T}\exp\left[-\int_0^\beta H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)d\tau\right]}$$

cea

Hybridization hamiltonian

H_{hyb} is defined by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}} = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \right)$$

Hybridization hamiltonian

H_{hyb} is defined by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}} = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c^{\dagger}_{k\sigma} d_{\sigma} + d^{\dagger}_{\sigma} c_{k\sigma} \right)$$

and we have defined $H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau)$ by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} H_{\mathrm{hyb}} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Hybridization hamiltonian

H_{hyb} is defined by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}} = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \right)$$

and we have defined $H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau)$ by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} H_{\mathrm{hyb}} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Hence, we can define for all creation and annihilation operators:

$$c_{k\sigma}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Hybridization hamiltonian

H_{hyb} is defined by:

$$H_{\text{hyb}} = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \right)$$

and we have defined $H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau)$ by:

$$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} H_{\text{hyb}} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Hence, we can define for all creation and annihilation operators:

$$c_{k\sigma}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Thus, straightforwardly

$$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} + \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \right)$$

Hybridization hamiltonian

H_{hyb} is defined by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}} = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \right)$$

and we have defined $H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau)$ by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} H_{\mathrm{hyb}} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Hence, we can define for all creation and annihilation operators:

$$c_{k\sigma}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Thus, straightforwardly

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} + \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \right)$$

so

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} + \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \right)$$

cea

Hybridization hamiltonian

H_{hyb} is defined by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}} = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \right)$$

and we have defined $H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau)$ by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} H_{\mathrm{hyb}} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Hence, we can define for all creation and annihilation operators:

$$c_{k\sigma}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Thus, straightforwardly

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} + \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \right)$$

so

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} + \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \right)$$

thus

$$\boxed{H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau) d_{\sigma}(\tau) + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau) c_{k\sigma}(\tau) \right)}$$

cea

Hybridization hamiltonian

H_{hyb} is defined by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}} = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \right)$$

and we have defined $H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau)$ by:

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} H_{\mathrm{hyb}} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Hence, we can define for all creation and annihilation operators:

$$c_{k\sigma}(\tau) = \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0}$$

Thus, straighforwardly

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} d_{\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} + \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \right)$$

so

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(\mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} + \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} d_{\sigma}^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \mathrm{e}^{\tau H_0} c_{k\sigma} \mathrm{e}^{-\tau H_0} \right)$$

thus

$$\boxed{H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau) d_{\sigma}(\tau) + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau) c_{k\sigma}(\tau) \right)}$$

Let's denote the two terms by

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = H_{\mathrm{h}}^{\dagger}(\tau) + H_{\mathrm{h}}(\tau)$$

Evolution equation for wave function: $U(t, t')$

Let's define the time evolution operator as the operator $U(t, t')$ such that

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0)|\Psi(t_0)\rangle$$

Evolution equation for wave function: $U(t, t')$

Let's define the time evolution operator as the operator $U(t, t')$ such that

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0)|\Psi(t_0)\rangle$$

The Schrödinger equation is

$$i\bar{h}\frac{\partial|\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

Evolution equation for wave function: $U(t, t')$

Let's define the time evolution operator as the operator $U(t, t')$ such that

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0)|\Psi(t_0)\rangle$$

The Schrödinger equation is

$$i\bar{h}\frac{\partial |\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

So that

$$i\bar{h}\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t}|\Psi(t_0)\rangle = \hat{H}\hat{U}(t, t_0)|\Psi(t_0)\rangle \Rightarrow i\bar{h}\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\hat{U}(t, t_0)$$

Evolution equation for wave function: $U(t, t')$

Let's define the time evolution operator as the operator $U(t, t')$ such that

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0)|\Psi(t_0)\rangle$$

The Schrödinger equation is

$$i\bar{h}\frac{\partial|\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

So that

$$i\bar{h}\frac{\partial\hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t}|\Psi(t_0)\rangle = \hat{H}\hat{U}(t, t_0)|\Psi(t_0)\rangle \Rightarrow i\bar{h}\frac{\partial\hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\hat{U}(t, t_0)$$

Thus, for a time independant Hamiltonian

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\bar{h}}\hat{H}(t - t_0)\right]$$

Evolution equation for wave function: $U(t, t')$

Let's define the time evolution operator as the operator $U(t, t')$ such that

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \hat{U}(t, t_0)|\Psi(t_0)\rangle$$

The Schrödinger equation is

$$i\bar{h}\frac{\partial|\Psi(t)\rangle}{\partial t} = \hat{H}|\Psi(t)\rangle$$

So that

$$i\bar{h}\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t}|\Psi(t_0)\rangle = \hat{H}\hat{U}(t, t_0)|\Psi(t_0)\rangle \Rightarrow i\hbar\frac{\partial \hat{U}(t, t_0)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}\hat{U}(t, t_0)$$

Thus, for a time independant Hamiltonian

$$\hat{U}(t, t_0) = \exp\left[-\frac{i}{\bar{h}}\hat{H}(t - t_0)\right]$$

If $\Psi(t_0)$ is an eigenstate of H and that the eigenvalue is E_0, then

$$|\Psi(t)\rangle = \mathrm{e}^{(-iE_0(t-t0)/\bar{h})}|\Psi(t_0)\rangle$$

Thus $\mathrm{e}^{-H\tau}$ can be seen as an evolution operator with an imaginary time.

Hybridization expansion for Z_2

The partition function thus writes:

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0} A(\beta)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad A(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \, \mathcal{T} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)$$

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)\right]$$

Hybridization expansion for Z_2

The partition function thus writes:

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0} A(\beta)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad A(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \, \mathcal{T} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)$$

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)\right]$$

Let's define $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ and H_{h}

$$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(\tau)d_\sigma(\tau) + d_\sigma^\dagger(\tau)c_{k\sigma}(\tau)\right) = H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau) + H_{\text{h}}(\tau)$$

We must have the same number of operator H_{h} and $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ in order for the trace to be non zero. So that $k = 2n$.

Hybridization expansion for Z_2

The partition function thus writes:

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0} A(\beta)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad A(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \ldots \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \, \mathcal{T} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1) \ldots H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)$$

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \ldots \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \, \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1) \ldots H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)\right]$$

Let's define $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ and H_{h}

$$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(\tau) d_\sigma(\tau) + d_\sigma^\dagger(\tau) c_{k\sigma}(\tau)\right) = H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau) + H_{\text{h}}(\tau)$$

We must have the same number of operator H_{h} and $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ in order for the trace to be non zero. So that $k = 2n$.

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \ldots \int_0^\beta d\tau_{2n} \, \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1) \ldots H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_{2n})\right]$$

Hybridization expansion for Z_2

The partition function thus writes:

$$Z \quad = \quad \mathsf{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0} A(\beta)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad A(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 ... \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_k \, \mathcal{T} H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_1) ... H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_k)$$

$$Z \quad = \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 ... \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_k \, \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_1) ... H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_k)\right]$$

Let's define $H_{\mathrm{h}}^{\dagger}$ and H_{h}

$$H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau) d_{\sigma}(\tau) + d_{\sigma}^{\dagger}(\tau) c_{k\sigma}(\tau)\right) = H_{\mathrm{h}}^{\dagger}(\tau) + H_{\mathrm{h}}(\tau)$$

We must have the same number of operator H_{h} and $H_{\mathrm{h}}^{\dagger}$ in order for the trace to be non zero. So that $k = 2n$.

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 ... \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_{2n} \, \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_1) ... H_{\mathrm{hyb}}(\tau_{2n})\right]$$

Let's focus on the term for n=1 to explicit the derivation:

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_1 \int_0^{\beta} d\tau_2 \, \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0}[H_{\mathrm{h}}(\tau_1) + H_{\mathrm{h}}^{\dagger}(\tau_1)][H_{\mathrm{h}}(\tau_2) + H_{\mathrm{h}}^{\dagger}(\tau_2)]\right]$$

Hybridization expansion for Z_2

The partition function thus writes:

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}A(\beta)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad A(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1...\int_0^\beta d\tau_k \mathcal{T}H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)$$

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1...\int_0^\beta d\tau_k \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)\right]$$

Let's define $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ and H_{h}

$$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau) = t\sum_{k\sigma}\left(c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(\tau)d_\sigma(\tau) + d_\sigma^\dagger(\tau)c_{k\sigma}(\tau)\right) = H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau) + H_{\text{h}}(\tau)$$

We must have the same number of operator H_{h} and $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ in order for the trace to be non zero. So that $k = 2n$.

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{(2n)!}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1...\int_0^\beta d\tau_{2n}\text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_{2n})\right]$$

Let's focus on the term for n=1 to explicit the derivation:

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_0^\beta d\tau_2\text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}[H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1)][H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2)]\right]$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_0^\beta d\tau_2\text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}[\cancel{H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2)} + H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2) + \cancel{H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2)}]\right]$$

Hybridization expansion for Z_2

The partition function thus writes:

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0} A(\beta)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad A(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \, \mathcal{T} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)$$

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_k \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)\right]$$

Let's define $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ and H_{h}

$$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau) = t \sum_{k\sigma} \left(c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(\tau) d_\sigma(\tau) + d_\sigma^\dagger(\tau) c_{k\sigma}(\tau)\right) = H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau) + H_{\text{h}}(\tau)$$

We must have the same number of operator H_{h} and $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ in order for the trace to be non zero. So that $k = 2n$.

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_{2n} \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_{2n})\right]$$

Let's focus on the term for n=1 to explicit the derivation:

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} [H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1)][H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2)]\right]$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} [\cancel{H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1) H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2)} + H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1) H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1) H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2) + \cancel{H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1) H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2)}]\right]$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} [H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1) H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1) H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2)]\right]$$

Hybridization expansion for Z_2

The partition function thus writes:

$$Z = \text{Tr}\left[e^{-\beta H_0}A(\beta)\right] \quad \text{with} \quad A(\beta) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1...\int_0^\beta d\tau_k \mathcal{T} H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)$$

$$Z = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1...\int_0^\beta d\tau_k \text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_k)\right]$$

Let's define $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ and H_{h}

$$H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau) = t\sum_{k\sigma}\left(c_{k\sigma}^\dagger(\tau)d_\sigma(\tau) + d_\sigma^\dagger(\tau)c_{k\sigma}(\tau)\right) = H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau) + H_{\text{h}}(\tau)$$

We must have the same number of operator H_{h} and $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ in order for the trace to be non zero. So that $k = 2n$.

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2n)!}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1...\int_0^\beta d\tau_{2n}\text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_1)...H_{\text{hyb}}(\tau_{2n})\right]$$

Let's focus on the term for n=1 to explicit the derivation:

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_0^\beta d\tau_2\text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}[H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1)][H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2)]\right]$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_0^\beta d\tau_2\text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}[\cancel{H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2)} + H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2) + \cancel{H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2)}]\right]$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_0^\beta d\tau_2\text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}[H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_2) + H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}(\tau_2)]\right]$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{2}{2}\int_0^\beta d\tau_1\int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1\text{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0}[H_{\text{h}}(\tau_1)H_{\text{h}}^\dagger(\bar\tau_1)]\right]$$

Where we have renamed time for H_{h} as τ_1 and time for $H_{\text{h}}^\dagger$ as $\bar\tau_1$.

$$Z_1 = \frac{2}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0} [H_\mathrm{h}(\tau_1) H_\mathrm{h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1)] \right]$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{2}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} [H_{\mathrm{h}}(\tau_1) H_{\mathrm{h}}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1)] \right]$$

We can generalize this to all case. *Because of the time ordering operator, all the terms with the different ordering of the operator are equivalent, the ordering is fixed by the ordering of time. So we can use only one ordering and we can show that the number of term arising from the product is $\frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}$.*

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\mathrm{h}}(\tau_1) H_{\mathrm{h}}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) .... H_{\mathrm{h}}(\tau_n) H_{\mathrm{h}}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) \right]$$

Hybridization expansion for Z_n

$$Z_1 = \frac{2}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \, \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} [H_\mathrm{h}(\tau_1) H_\mathrm{h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1)] \right]$$

We can generalize this to all case. *Because of the time ordering operator, all the terms with the different ordering of the operator are equivalent, the ordering is fixed by the ordering of time. So we can use only one ordering and we can show that the number of term arising from the product is $\frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}$.*

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \, \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_\mathrm{h}(\tau_1) H_\mathrm{h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) .... H_\mathrm{h}(\tau_n) H_\mathrm{h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) \right]$$

We can now use $H_\mathrm{h}(\tau) = \sum_{k\sigma} V_k^\sigma \left(c_k^\dagger(\tau) d_\sigma(\tau) \right)$ and $H_\mathrm{h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{k'\sigma'} V_k^{\sigma*} \left(d_{\bar{\sigma}}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_k(\bar{\tau}) \right)$ and insert it into e.g. Z_1.

$$Z_1 = \frac{2}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} [H_{\rm h}(\tau_1) H_{\rm h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1)] \right]$$

We can generalize this to all case. *Because of the time ordering operator, all the terms with the different ordering of the operator are equivalent, the ordering is fixed by the ordering of time. So we can use only one ordering and we can show that the number of term arising from the product is $\frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}$.*

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\rm h}(\tau_1) H_{\rm h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) .... H_{\rm h}(\tau_n) H_{\rm h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) \right]$$

We can now use $H_{\rm h}(\tau) = \sum_{k\sigma} V_k^\sigma \left(c_k^\dagger(\tau) d_\sigma(\tau) \right)$ and $H_{\rm h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{k'\sigma'} V_k^{\sigma*} \left(d_{\bar{\sigma}}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_k(\bar{\tau}) \right)$ and insert it into e.g. Z_1.

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} \left[\sum_{k_1, \bar{k}_1} \sum_{\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] \right]$$

$$Z_1 = \frac{2}{2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} [H_{\rm h}(\tau_1) H_{\rm h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1)] \right]$$

We can generalize this to all case. *Because of the time ordering operator, all the terms with the different ordering of the operator are equivalent, the ordering is fixed by the ordering of time. So we can use only one ordering and we can show that the number of term arising from the product is $\frac{(2n)!}{(n!)^2}$.*

$$Z = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{(n!)^2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} H_{\rm h}(\tau_1) H_{\rm h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) .... H_{\rm h}(\tau_n) H_{\rm h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) \right]$$

We can now use $H_{\rm h}(\tau) = \sum_{k\sigma} V_k^\sigma \left(c_k^\dagger(\tau) d_\sigma(\tau) \right)$ and $H_{\rm h}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) = \sum_{k'\sigma'} V_k^{\sigma *} \left(d_{\bar{\sigma}}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_k(\bar{\tau}) \right)$ and insert it into e.g. Z_1.

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} \left[\sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] \right]$$

$$Z_n = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \text{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} \right.$$

$$\left. \sum_{k_1 .. k_n, \bar{k}_1 .. \bar{k}_n} \sum_{\sigma_1 .. \sigma_n, \bar{\sigma}_1 .. \bar{\sigma}_n} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} .... V_{k_n}^{\sigma_n} V_{\bar{k}_n}^{\bar{\sigma}_n *} c_{k_n}^\dagger(\tau_n) d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\bar{\sigma}_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) c_{\bar{k}_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Hybridization expansion for Z_n

$$Z_n = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0} \right.$$

$$\sum_{k_1..k_n,\bar{k}_1..\bar{k}_n} \sum_{\sigma_1..\sigma_n,\bar{\sigma}_1..\bar{\sigma}_n} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1*} .... V_{k_n}^{\sigma_n} V_{\bar{k}_n}^{\bar{\sigma}_n*} c_{k_n}^\dagger (\tau_n) d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\bar{\sigma}_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) c_{\bar{k}_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \left. \right]$$

Separation of Trace over bath and impurity

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

The Trace over quantum states can be done of tensorial product of bath and impurity states so that the trace can be separated in two groups.

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \mathrm{Tr} \, V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} \mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] \mathrm{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

Idem for Z_n

Let's now focus on the Bath part:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

What is a trace ?

What is a trace

For an hamiltonian in second quantization, the basis is made of state empty or filled.

- For a one particle hamiltonian

  $\operatorname{Tr} A = \langle 0|A|0\rangle + \langle 1|A|1\rangle$

- For a two particle hamiltonian

  $\operatorname{Tr} A = \langle 00|A|00\rangle + \langle 01|A|01\rangle + \langle 10|A|10\rangle + \langle 11|A|11\rangle$

Separation of Trace over bath and impurity

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_0} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

The Trace over quantum states can be done of tensorial product of bath and impurity states so that the trace can be separated in two groups (the anticommutation rules give no change).

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \mathrm{Tr}\, V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} \mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] \mathrm{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

Idem for Z_n

Let's now focus on the Bath part:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

and we just start with

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \right] = \mathrm{Tr}_c \left[\prod_k e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} \right] = \prod_k \mathrm{Tr}_{c_k} e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} = \prod_k (\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} | 1 \rangle)$$

$$e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} = \sum_n \frac{(-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k)^n}{n!}$$

Separation of Trace over bath and impurity

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_0} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1*} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right]$$

The Trace over quantum states can be done of tensorial product of bath and impurity states so that the trace can be separated in two groups (the anticommutation rules give no change).

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \mathrm{Tr}\, V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1*} \mathrm{Tr}_c\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] \mathrm{Tr}_d\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau})\right]$$

Idem for Z_n

Let's now focus on the Bath part:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right]$$

and we just start with

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c}\right] = \mathrm{Tr}_c\left[\prod_k \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}\right] = \prod_k \mathrm{Tr}_{c_k} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} = \prod_k(\langle 0|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|0\rangle + \langle 1|\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|1\rangle)$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} = \sum_n \frac{(-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k)^n}{n!}$$

Let's see how to apply the operator $c_k^\dagger c_k$ on $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

$1|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ (n=0)

$\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k|0\rangle = 0$ (n=1)

$\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$

Separation of Trace over bath and impurity

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1 \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar\sigma_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar\sigma_1 *} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar\tau)\right]$$

The Trace over quantum states can be done of tensorial product of bath and impurity states so that the trace can be separated in two groups (the anticommutation rules give no change).

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar\sigma_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \operatorname{Tr} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar\sigma_1 *} \operatorname{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar\tau)\right]\operatorname{Tr}_d\left[e^{-\beta H_d}\mathcal{T}d_{\sigma_1}(\tau)d_{\bar\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar\tau)\right]$$

Idem for Z_n

Let's now focus on the Bath part:

$$\operatorname{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar\tau)\right]$$

and we just start with

$$\operatorname{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\right] = \operatorname{Tr}_c\left[\prod_k e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}\right] = \prod_k \operatorname{Tr}_{c_k} e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} = \prod_k (\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|1\rangle)$$

$$e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} = \sum_n \frac{(-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k)^n}{n!}$$

Let's see how to apply the operator $c_k^\dagger c_k$ on $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

$1|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ (n=0)

$\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k|0\rangle = 0$ (n=1)

$e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$

$\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k|1\rangle = \beta\epsilon_k|1\rangle$

$\beta^2\epsilon_k^2 c_k^\dagger c_k c_k^\dagger c_k|1\rangle = \beta^2\epsilon_k^2|1\rangle$

$e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|1\rangle = \sum_n \frac{(-\beta)^n\epsilon_k^n}{n!}|1\rangle = e^{-\beta\epsilon_k}|1\rangle$

Separation of Trace over bath and impurity

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \operatorname{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-\beta H_0} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right]$$

The Trace over quantum states can be done of tensorial product of bath and impurity states so that the trace can be separated in two groups (the anticommutation rules give no change).

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} \operatorname{Tr} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1 *} \operatorname{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] \operatorname{Tr}_d\left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau})\right]$$

Idem for Z_n
Let's now focus on the Bath part:

$$\operatorname{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right]$$

and we just start with

$$\operatorname{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\right] = \operatorname{Tr}_c\left[\prod_k e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}\right] = \prod_k \operatorname{Tr}_{c_k} e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} = \prod_k (\langle 0|e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|1\rangle)$$

$$e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} = \sum_n \frac{(-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k)^n}{n!}$$

Let's see how to apply the operator $c_k^\dagger c_k$ on $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$.

$1|0\rangle = |0\rangle$ (n=0)

$\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k |0\rangle = 0$ (n=1)

$e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|0\rangle = |0\rangle$

$\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k |1\rangle = \beta \epsilon_k |1\rangle$

$\beta^2 \epsilon_k^2 c_k^\dagger c_k c_k^\dagger c_k |1\rangle = \beta^2 \epsilon_k^2 |1\rangle$

$e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}|1\rangle = \sum_n \frac{(-\beta)^n \epsilon_k^n}{n!}|1\rangle = e^{-\beta \epsilon_k}|1\rangle$

$$\boxed{Z_{\text{bath}} = \prod_k (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k})}$$

Usual result for fermions...

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] = \prod_{k\neq k_1}\text{Tr}_{c_k}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}\right]\text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] =$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] = \prod_{k \neq k_1} \mathrm{Tr}_{c_k} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} \right] \mathrm{Tr}_{c_{k_1}} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k \neq k_1} (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k})] \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right] =$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] = \prod_{k \neq k_1} \mathrm{Tr}_{c_k} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} \right] \mathrm{Tr}_{c_{k_1}} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k \neq k_1} (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k})] \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0| e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) |0\rangle + \langle 1| e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) |1\rangle \right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\mathrm{bath}} (= \prod_k (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k}))}{(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1}})} \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0| e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) |0\rangle + \langle 1| e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) |1\rangle \right]$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] = \prod_{k \neq k_1} \text{Tr}_{c_k} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} \right] \text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k \neq k_1} (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k})] \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}} (= \prod_k (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k}))}{(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1}})} \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c} c e^{-\tau H_c}$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] = \prod_{k\neq k_1}\text{Tr}_{c_k}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}\right]\text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k\neq k_1}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k})]\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}}(=\prod_k(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k}))}{(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}})}\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c}c e^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} \quad c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) \quad c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] = \prod_{k\neq k_1}\text{Tr}_{c_k}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}\right]\text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k\neq k_1}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k})]\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}}(=\prod_k(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k}))}{(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}})}\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c}c e^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} \qquad c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) \qquad c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} \qquad \underbrace{e^{(\tau H_c)}c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau H_c)}} \qquad \underbrace{e^{\bar{\tau}H_c}c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})e^{-\bar{\tau}H_c}}|0\rangle$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] = \prod_{k \neq k_1} \text{Tr}_{c_k} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} \right] \text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k \neq k_1} (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k})] \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}}(= \prod_k (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k}))}{(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1}})} \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c} c e^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} \qquad \overbrace{c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)}^{} \qquad \overbrace{c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle}^{}$$

$$e^{(-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} \qquad \overbrace{e^{(\tau H_c)} c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau H_c)}}^{} \qquad \overbrace{e^{\bar{\tau} H_c} c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau}) e^{-\bar{\tau} H_c} |0\rangle}^{}$$

$$e^{(-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} \qquad \overbrace{e^{(\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}}^{} \qquad \overbrace{e^{(\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1} e^{(-\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} |0\rangle}^{}$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] = \prod_{k \neq k_1}\mathrm{Tr}_{c_k}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^{\dagger}c_k}\right]\mathrm{Tr}_{c_{k_1}}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k \neq k_1}(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_k})]\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\mathrm{bath}}(= \prod_k(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_k}))}{(1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}})}\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c}ce^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})} \quad \overbrace{c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)} \quad \overbrace{c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle}$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})} \quad \overbrace{e^{(\tau H_c)}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}e^{(-\tau H_c)}} \quad \overbrace{e^{\bar{\tau}H_c}c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})e^{-\bar{\tau}H_c}|0\rangle}$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})} \quad \overbrace{e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})}} \quad \overbrace{e^{(\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}e^{(-\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})}|0\rangle}$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})} \quad e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})} \quad e^{(\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}|0\rangle = 0$$

cea

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] = \prod_{k\neq k_1}\text{Tr}_{c_k}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}\right]\text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] =$$

$$\left[\prod_{k\neq k_1}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k})\right]\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}}(=\prod_k(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k}))}{(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}})}\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c}ce^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}e^{(-\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}|1\rangle$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] = \prod_{k \neq k_1} \text{Tr}_{c_k} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} \right] \text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k \neq k_1} (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k})] \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}}(= \prod_k (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k}))}{(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1}})} \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c} c e^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1} e^{(-\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} | 1 \rangle$$

$$e^{(-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1} | 1 \rangle e^{(-\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1})}$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] = \prod_{k \neq k_1} \text{Tr}_{c_k} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k} \right] \text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}} \left[e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) \right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k \neq k_1} (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k})] \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}}(= \prod_k (1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_k}))}{(1 + e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1}})} \delta_{k_1 \bar{k}_1} \left[\langle 0 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 0 \rangle + \langle 1 | e^{-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) | 1 \rangle \right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c} c e^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1} e^{(-\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} | 1 \rangle$$

$$e^{(-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1} | 1 \rangle e^{(-\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1})}$$

$$e^{(-\beta \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} e^{(\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1} c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})} | 0 \rangle e^{(-\bar{\tau} \epsilon_{k_1})}$$

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar{\tau} < \tau$ (and $\bar{k}_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] = \prod_{k\neq k_1}\text{Tr}_{c_k}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^\dagger c_k}\right]\text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k\neq k_1}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k})]\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}}(=\prod_k(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k}))}{(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}})}\delta_{k_1\bar{k}_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1}}c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})|1\rangle\right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c}ce^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}e^{(-\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}|1\rangle$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}|1\rangle e^{(-\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1})}$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}^\dagger e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}|0\rangle e^{(-\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1})}$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^\dagger c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}^\dagger|0\rangle e^{(-\bar{\tau}\epsilon_{k_1})}$$

Trace over bath

Now we study the term that appears in Z_1 in the case $\bar\tau < \tau$ (and $\bar k_1$ and k_1 should be equal)

$$\text{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c^\dagger_{k_1}(\tau)c_{\bar k_1}(\bar\tau)\right] = \prod_{k\neq k_1}\text{Tr}_{c_k}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_k c^\dagger_k c_k}\right]\text{Tr}_{c_{k_1}}\left[e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1}}c^\dagger_{k_1}(\tau)c_{\bar k_1}(\bar\tau)\right] =$$

$$[\prod_{k\neq k_1}(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k})]\delta_{k_1\bar k_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1}}c^\dagger_{k_1}(\tau)c_{\bar k_1}(\bar\tau)|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1}}c^\dagger_{k_1}(\tau)c_{\bar k_1}(\bar\tau)|1\rangle\right] =$$

$$\frac{Z_{\text{bath}}(=\prod_k(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_k}))}{(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}})}\delta_{k_1\bar k_1}\left[\langle 0|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1}}c^\dagger_{k_1}(\tau)c_{\bar k_1}(\bar\tau)|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1}}c^\dagger_{k_1}(\tau)c_{\bar k_1}(\bar\tau)|1\rangle\right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c}c\,e^{-\tau H_c}$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}c^\dagger_{k_1}e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}e^{(\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}e^{(-\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}|1\rangle$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}c^\dagger_{k_1}e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}e^{(\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}c_{k_1}|1\rangle e^{(-\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1})}$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}c^\dagger_{k_1}e^{(-\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}e^{(\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}|0\rangle e^{(-\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1})}$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}c^\dagger_{k_1}|0\rangle e^{(-\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1})}$$

$$e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1}c^\dagger_{k_1}c_{k_1})}|1\rangle e^{(-\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1})} = |1\rangle e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1})}e^{(\tau\epsilon_{k_1})}e^{(-\bar\tau\epsilon_{k_1})} = |1\rangle e^{(-\epsilon_{k_1})(\beta-(\tau-\bar\tau))}$$

Now we study this term for $\bar{\tau} > \tau$:

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c\left[e^{-\beta H_c}\mathcal{T}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})\right] = -\prod_{k\neq k_1}\mathrm{Tr}_{c_k}\left[e^{(-\beta\epsilon_k c_k^{\dagger}c_k)}\right]\mathrm{Tr}_{c_{k_1}}\left[e^{(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1})}c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau})c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)\right] =$$

$$-\frac{Z_{\mathrm{bath}}}{(1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}})}\left[\langle 0|e^{\left(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1}\right)}c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)|0\rangle + \langle 1|e^{\left(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1}\right)}c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)|1\rangle\right]$$

We remind that $c(\tau) = e^{\tau H_c}ce^{-\tau H_c}$. Only the term acting on $|0\rangle$ will be non zero, the same calculation gives

$$\langle 0|e^{\left(-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}c_{k_1}^{\dagger}c_{k_1}\right)}c_{k_1}(\bar{\tau})c_{k_1}^{\dagger}(\tau)|0\rangle = e^{\epsilon_{k_1}(\tau-\bar{\tau})}$$

Hybridization function F

Let's gather the terms.

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \underbrace{\sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \mathsf{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]}_{F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_1)} \mathsf{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

Hybridization function F

Let's gather the terms.

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \underbrace{\sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \mathsf{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]}_{F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_1)} \mathsf{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

and

$$F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau} - \tau) = Z_{\text{bath}} \sum_{k_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{k_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \begin{cases} \dfrac{-e^{-\epsilon_{k_1}(\bar{\tau}-\tau)}}{1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}}} & \text{if } \bar{\tau} - \tau > 0 \\[2ex] \dfrac{e^{-\epsilon_{k_1}(\beta+(\bar{\tau}-\tau))}}{1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}}} & \text{if } \bar{\tau} - \tau < 0 \end{cases}$$

This is simply the coupling of non interacting electrons which are evoluting at the frequency of their eigenvalues.

Hybridization function F

Let's gather the terms.

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar\sigma_1} \underbrace{\sum_{k_1,\bar k_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar k_1}^{\bar\sigma_1} \mathsf{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar k_1}(\bar\tau_1) \right]}_{F_{\sigma_1\bar\sigma_1}(\bar\tau_1 - \tau_1)} \mathsf{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar\tau) \right]$$

and

$$F_{\sigma_1\bar\sigma_1}(\bar\tau - \tau) = Z_{\mathrm{bath}} \sum_{k_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{k_1}^{\bar\sigma_1} \begin{cases} \dfrac{-e^{-\epsilon_{k_1}(\bar\tau-\tau)}}{1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}}} & \text{if } \bar\tau - \tau > 0 \\[3mm] \dfrac{e^{-\epsilon_{k_1}(\beta+(\bar\tau-\tau))}}{1+e^{-\beta\epsilon_{k_1}}} & \text{if } \bar\tau - \tau < 0 \end{cases}$$

This is simply the coupling of non interacting electrons which are evoluting at the frequency of their eigenvalues. So that

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar\sigma_1} F_{\sigma_1\bar\sigma_1}(\bar\tau_1 - \tau_1) \mathsf{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar\tau) \right]$$

Bath for more operators

We recall that

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1, \bar{k}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \mathsf{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \mathsf{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

Bath for more operators

We recall that

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \mathrm{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

and we have

$$Z_2 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_2 \sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1,\sigma_2,\bar{\sigma}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta(H_c)} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}) \right] \mathrm{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta(H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) d_{\sigma_2}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

Bath for more operators

We recall that

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \operatorname{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \operatorname{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

and we have

$$Z_2 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_2 \sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1,\sigma_2,\bar{\sigma}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr}_c \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta(H_c)} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}) \right] \operatorname{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta(H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) d_{\sigma_2}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

Let's compute

$$\sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \operatorname{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right]$$

Bath for more operators

We recall that

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \mathrm{Tr}_c \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \mathrm{Tr}_d \left[\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

and we have

$$Z_2 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_2 \sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1,\sigma_2,\bar{\sigma}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta(H_c)} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}) \right] \mathrm{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta(H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) d_{\sigma_2}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

Let's compute

$$\sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right]$$

We can use anticommutation relation between operator (or use Wick's theorem) to show that:

$$\sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right]$$

$$- \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right] \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Bath for more operators

We recall that

$$Z_1 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1} \sum_{k_1,\bar{k}_1} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} \mathrm{Tr}_c \left[e^{-\beta H_c} \mathcal{T} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \mathrm{Tr}_d \left[e^{-\beta H_d} \mathcal{T} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

and we have

$$Z_2 = \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_2 \sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} \sum_{\sigma_1,\bar{\sigma}_1,\sigma_2,\bar{\sigma}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_c \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta(H_c)} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}) c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}) \right] \mathrm{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta(H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) d_{\sigma_2}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

Let's compute

$$\sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right]$$

We can use anticommutation relation between operator (or use Wick's theorem) to show that:

$$\sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right]$$

$$- \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right] \mathrm{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

It comes from the fact that eg. when an electron is annihilated at $\bar{\tau}_1$, it can be the one that was created at τ_1 or τ_2.
Forget the details: it is just a consequence of the antisymmetry of the true wavefunction.

From

$$\sum_{k_1,k_2,\bar{k}_1,\bar{k}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \Big[\mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1)\right] \mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2)\right]$$

$$- \mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2)\right] \mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1)\right] \Big]$$

and using definition of F, we have

$$\Big[F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_2)$$
$$- F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_2)) \Big]$$

From

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2, \bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \left[\mathsf{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \mathsf{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right] \right.$$

$$\left. - \mathsf{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2) \right] \mathsf{Tr} \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \right]$$

and using definition of F, we have

$$\left[F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_2) \right.$$
$$\left. - F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_2)) \right]$$

So that:

$$Z_2 = Z_{\text{bath}} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_2 \sum_{\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_1, \sigma_2, \bar{\sigma}_2} \mathsf{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-\beta(H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) d_{\sigma_2}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

$$\left[F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_2) - F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_2)) \right]$$

From

$$\sum_{k_1, k_2, \bar{k}_1, \bar{k}_2} V_{k_1}^{\sigma_1} V_{\bar{k}_1}^{\bar{\sigma}_1} V_{k_2}^{\sigma_2} V_{\bar{k}_2}^{\bar{\sigma}_2} \Big[\mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1)\right] \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2)\right]$$

$$- \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_1}^\dagger(\tau_1) c_{\bar{k}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2)\right] \mathsf{Tr}\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H_c} c_{k_2}^\dagger(\tau_2) c_{\bar{k}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1)\right] \Big]$$

and using definition of F, we have

$$\big[F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_2)$$
$$- F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_2)) \big]$$

So that:

$$Z_2 = Z_{\mathrm{bath}} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 \int_0^\beta d\tau_2 \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_2 \sum_{\sigma_1, \bar{\sigma}_1, \sigma_2, \bar{\sigma}_2} \mathsf{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-\beta(H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) d_{\sigma_2}(\tau) d_{\bar{\sigma}_2}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}) \right]$$

$$\big[F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_2) - F_{\sigma_1 \bar{\sigma}_2}(\bar{\tau}_2 - \tau_1) F_{\sigma_2 \bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1 - \tau_2)) \big]$$

So the full partition functions writes as:

$$Z = Z_{\mathrm{bath}} \sum_n \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \sum_{\sigma_1 .. \sigma_n, \bar{\sigma}_1 .. \bar{\sigma}_n}$$

$$\mathsf{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\bar{\sigma}_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \det[F(\bar{\tau} - \tau)]$$

In the equation:

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \sum_n \frac{1}{n!^2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_n \sum_{\sigma_1 .. \sigma_n, \bar\sigma_1 .. \bar\sigma_n}$$

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\bar\sigma_n}(\bar\tau_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\bar\sigma_1}(\bar\tau_1) \right] \det[F(\bar\tau - \tau)]$$

Integration over bath states general case

In the equation:

$$Z = Z_{\mathrm{bath}} \sum_n \frac{1}{n!^2} \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \sum_{\sigma_1 .. \sigma_n, \bar{\sigma}_1 .. \bar{\sigma}_n}$$

$$\mathsf{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\bar{\sigma}_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \det[F(\bar{\tau} - \tau)]$$

The F are easy to compute because the V are known. Let's rewrite the equation as:

$$Z = Z_{\mathrm{bath}} \sum_n \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \sum_{\sigma_1 .. \sigma_n, \bar{\sigma}_1 .. \bar{\sigma}_n}$$

$$\mathsf{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\bar{\sigma}_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\bar{\sigma}_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \det[F(\bar{\tau} - \tau)]$$

With this formulation we have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$.

If the bath does not mix spins ...

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \sum_n \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1 ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n \sum_{\sigma_1..\sigma_n, \bar{\sigma}_1..\bar{\sigma}_n}$$

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\bar{\sigma}_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\bar{\sigma}_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] \det[F(\bar{\tau} - \tau)]$$

If the bath does not mix spins ...

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \sum_n \int_0^\beta d\tau_1 ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^\beta d\tau_n \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1 ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}}^\beta d\bar\tau_n \sum_{\sigma_1..\sigma_n,\bar\sigma_1..\bar\sigma_n}$$

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\bar\sigma_n}(\bar\tau_n)...d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\bar\sigma_1}(\bar\tau_1) \right] \det[F(\bar\tau - \tau)]$$

We can separate spins and have spin dependant indices if F is a matrix that does not couple spins.

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \left[\sum_{n_\sigma} \left(\prod_\sigma \int_0^\beta d\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\tau_n^\sigma \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\bar\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\bar\tau_n^\sigma \right) \right] \times$$

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} \prod_\sigma d_\sigma(\tau_n^\sigma) d^\dagger_\sigma(\bar\tau_n^\sigma)...d_\sigma(\tau_1^\sigma) d^\dagger_\sigma(\bar\tau_1^\sigma) \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar\tau - \tau)] \right)$$

everything depends on spin, but spin are separated

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\sigma_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\sigma_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\sigma_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\text{Tr}_d\left[\mathcal{T}e^{-(\beta H_d)}d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n)d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_n}(\bar{\tau}_n)...d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1)d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1)\right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

$$\text{Tr}_d\left[e^{-(\beta H_d)}d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1)d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1)\right]$$

So only non zero term in the Tr is:

$$\text{Tr}_d\left[e^{-(\beta H_d)}d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1)d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1)\right] = \langle 0|e^{-(\beta H_d)}d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1)d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1)|0\rangle$$

$$= \langle 0|e^{-(\beta H_d)}e^{\tau_1 H_d}d_{\sigma_1}e^{-\tau_1 H_d}e^{\bar{\tau}_1 H_d}d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_1}e^{-\bar{\tau}_1 \mathbf{H_d}}|0\rangle$$

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar\tau_1 < \bar\tau_2 < ... < \bar\tau_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\sigma_n}^\dagger(\bar\tau_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar\tau_1) \right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar\tau_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar\tau_1) \right]$$

So only non zero term in the Tr is:

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar\tau_1) \right] = \langle 0 | e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar\tau_1) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | e^{-(\beta H_d)} e^{\tau_1 H_d} d_{\sigma_1} e^{-\tau_1 H_d} e^{\bar\tau_1 H_d} \mathbf{d}_{\sigma_1}^\dagger e^{-\bar\tau_1 H_d} | 0 \rangle$$

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\sigma_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

So only non zero term in the Tr is:

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] = \langle 0| e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) |0\rangle$$

$$= \langle 0| e^{-(\beta H_d)} e^{\tau_1 H_d} d_{\sigma_1} e^{-\tau_1 H_d} e^{\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger e^{-\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} |0\rangle$$

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\mathsf{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\sigma_n}(\bar{\tau}_n)...d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

$$\mathsf{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

So only non zero term in the Tr is:

$$\mathsf{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] = \langle 0 | e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | e^{-(\beta H_d)} e^{\tau_1 H_d} \mathbf{d_{\sigma_1}} e^{-\tau_1 H_d} e^{\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} d^\dagger_{\sigma_1} e^{-\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} | 0 \rangle$$

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\sigma_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

So only non zero term in the Tr is:

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] = \langle 0 | e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) | 0 \rangle$$
$$= \langle 0 | e^{-(\beta \mathbf{H_d})} e^{\tau_1 \mathbf{H_d}} d_{\sigma_1} e^{-\tau_1 H_d} e^{\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} d^\dagger_{\sigma_1} e^{-\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} | 0 \rangle$$

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\sigma_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

So only non zero term in the Tr is:

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] = \langle 0 | e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) | 0 \rangle$$

$$= \langle 0 | e^{-(\beta H_d)} e^{\tau_1 H_d} d_{\sigma_1} e^{-\tau_1 H_d} e^{\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} d^\dagger_{\sigma_1} e^{-\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} | 0 \rangle$$

Let's forget spin and try to understand the Tr_d

We have $\tau_1 < \tau_2 < ... < \tau_n$ and $\bar{\tau}_1 < \bar{\tau}_2 < ... < \bar{\tau}_n$. Let's now focus on

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\sigma_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n)...d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Let's start with $n = 1$ with $\beta < \bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 < 0$.

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

So only non zero term in the Tr is:

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] = \langle 0| e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) |0\rangle$$

$$= \langle 0| e^{-(\beta H_d)} e^{\tau_1 H_d} d_{\sigma_1} e^{-\tau_1 H_d} e^{\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger e^{-\bar{\tau}_1 H_d} |0\rangle = e^{-(\tau_1 - \bar{\tau}_1)\epsilon_0}$$

Role of τ versus $\bar{\tau}$?

$$\bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1 \qquad \text{Tr}_d \, \mathcal{T} \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] = <0| e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) |0>$$

Role of τ versus $\bar{\tau}$?

$\bar{\tau}_1 < \tau_1$ $\text{Tr}_d \mathcal{T} \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^{\dagger}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] = <0| e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^{\dagger}(\bar{\tau}_1) |0>$

$\bar{\tau}_1 > \tau_1$ $\text{Tr}_d \mathcal{T} \left[e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^{\dagger}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right] = <1| e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_1}^{\dagger}(\bar{\tau}_1) d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) |1>$

More operators

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^{\dagger}_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

n=0
$$\vdash \cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\cdots\dashv$$
0 β

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-(\beta H_d)}d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n)d^\dagger_{\sigma_n}(\bar\tau_n)...d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1)d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar\tau_1)\right]$$

n=0

0 — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — β 0 — — — — — — — — — — — — β

More operators

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\sigma_n}(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

n=0

0   β 0 β

n=1

0    $\bar{\tau}_1$ τ_1 β

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d\left[\mathcal{T}\mathrm{e}^{-(\beta H_d)}d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n)d_{\sigma_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n)...d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1)d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1)\right]$$

More operators

$$\mathrm{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} \mathrm{e}^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d^\dagger_{\sigma_n}(\bar\tau_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d^\dagger_{\sigma_1}(\bar\tau_1) \right]$$

More operators

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_{\sigma_n}(\tau_n) d_{\sigma_n}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n) ... d_{\sigma_1}(\tau_1) d_{\sigma_1}^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1) \right]$$

Calculation of trace: need spins

$$< 00|e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_\downarrow(\tau_1^\downarrow) d_\uparrow(\tau_1^\uparrow) d_\downarrow^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\downarrow) d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\uparrow)|00 >$$

$\sigma = \uparrow$

$0 \quad \bar{\tau}_1^\uparrow \quad \tau_1^\uparrow \quad \beta$

$\sigma = \downarrow$

$0 \quad \bar{\tau}_1^\downarrow \quad \tau_1^\downarrow \quad \beta$

$$H_d = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_d^\uparrow n_d^\downarrow$$

$$< 00|e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_\downarrow(\tau_1^\downarrow) d_\uparrow(\tau_1^\uparrow) d_\downarrow^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\downarrow) d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\uparrow)|00 >=$$

Calculation of trace: need spins

$$< 00|e^{-(\beta H_d)}d_\downarrow(\tau_1^\downarrow)d_\uparrow(\tau_1^\uparrow)d_\downarrow^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\downarrow)d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\uparrow)|00 >$$

$$H_d = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_d^\uparrow n_d^\downarrow$$

$$< 00|e^{-(\beta H_d)}d_\downarrow(\tau_1^\downarrow)d_\uparrow(\tau_1^\uparrow)d_\downarrow^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\downarrow)d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\uparrow)|00 > = \boxed{\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l^\uparrow + l^\downarrow)\right]}$$

32

Calculation of trace: need spins

$$< 00|e^{-(\beta H_d)}d_\downarrow(\tau_1^\downarrow)d_\uparrow(\tau_1^\uparrow)d_\downarrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_1^\downarrow)d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_1^\uparrow)|00 >$$

$$H_d = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_d^\uparrow n_d^\downarrow$$

$$< 00|e^{-(\beta H_d)}d_\downarrow(\tau_1^\downarrow)d_\uparrow(\tau_1^\uparrow)d_\downarrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_1^\downarrow)d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_1^\uparrow)|00 >= \boxed{\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l^\uparrow + l^\downarrow) - U O^{\uparrow\downarrow}\right]}$$

Calculation of trace: need spins

$$< 00|e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_\downarrow(\tau_1^\downarrow) d_\uparrow(\tau_2^\uparrow) d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_2^\uparrow) d_\uparrow(\tau_1^\uparrow) d_\downarrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_1^\downarrow) d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_1^\uparrow)|00 >$$

$$H_d = \sum_\sigma \varepsilon_0 d_\sigma^\dagger d_\sigma + U n_d^\uparrow n_d^\downarrow$$

$$< 00|e^{-(\beta H_d)} d_\downarrow(\tau_1^\downarrow) d_\uparrow(\tau_2^\uparrow) d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_2^\uparrow) d_\uparrow(\tau_1^\uparrow) d_\downarrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_1^\downarrow) d_\uparrow^\dagger(\bar\tau_1^\uparrow)|00 > = \boxed{\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l^\uparrow + l^\downarrow) - U O^{\uparrow\downarrow}\right]}$$

Expression of the partition function

We add:

$$
Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \left[\sum_{n_\sigma} \left(\prod_\sigma \int_0^\beta d\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\tau_n^\sigma \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1^\sigma ... \int_{\bar{\tau}_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n^\sigma \right) \right] \times
$$

$$
\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} \prod_\sigma d_\sigma(\tau_n^\sigma) d_\sigma^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n^\sigma) ... d_\sigma(\tau_1^\sigma) d_\sigma^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\sigma) \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar{\tau} - \tau)] \right)
$$

Expression of the partition function

We add:

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \left[\sum_{n_\sigma} \left(\prod_\sigma \int_0^\beta d\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\tau_n^\sigma \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1^\sigma ... \int_{\bar{\tau}_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n^\sigma \right) \right] \times$$

$$\text{Tr}_d \left[\mathcal{T} e^{-(\beta H_d)} \prod_\sigma d_\sigma(\tau_n^\sigma) d_\sigma^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_n^\sigma) ... d_\sigma(\tau_1^\sigma) d_\sigma^\dagger(\bar{\tau}_1^\sigma) \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar{\tau} - \tau)] \right)$$

We now have

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \left[\sum_{n_\sigma} \left(\prod_\sigma \int_0^\beta d\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\tau_n^\sigma \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1^\sigma ... \int_{\bar{\tau}_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n^\sigma \right) \right] \times$$

$$\exp \left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + U O_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow} \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar{\tau} - \tau)] \right)$$

- Where $l^\uparrow$, $l^\downarrow$ and $O^{\uparrow\downarrow}$ are functions of all the $\tau_1^\sigma ... \tau_n^\sigma$.
- $F(\tau - \bar{\tau})$ is also a function of all the $\tau_1^\sigma ... \tau_n^\sigma$.
- This integration can be sampled by Monte Carlo.

Monte carlo

We have

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \left[\sum_{n_\sigma} \left(\prod_\sigma \int_0^\beta d\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\tau_n^\sigma \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1^\sigma ... \int_{\bar{\tau}_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n^\sigma \right) \right] \times$$

$$\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0 (l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + U O_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow} \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar{\tau} - \tau)] \right)$$

Monte carlo

We have

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \left[\sum_{n_\sigma} \left(\prod_\sigma \int_0^\beta d\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\tau_n^\sigma \int_0^\beta d\bar{\tau}_1^\sigma ... \int_{\bar{\tau}_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\bar{\tau}_n^\sigma \right) \right] \times$$

$$\exp \left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + U O_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow} \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar{\tau} - \tau)] \right)$$

The partition function can be rewritten as

$$Z = \sum_x f(x)$$

Monte carlo

We have

$$Z = Z_{\text{bath}} \left[\sum_{n_\sigma} \left(\prod_\sigma \int_0^\beta d\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\tau_n^\sigma \int_0^\beta d\bar\tau_1^\sigma ... \int_{\bar\tau_{n-1}^\sigma}^\beta d\bar\tau_n^\sigma \right) \right] \times$$

$$\exp \left[-\varepsilon_0 (l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + U O_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow} \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar\tau - \tau)] \right)$$

The partition function can be rewritten as

$$Z = \sum_x f(x)$$

Where for each x, we have to specify an expansion order for each spin n_σ

$$f(x) = Z_{\text{bath}} (d\tau)^{2(n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow)} \exp \left[-\varepsilon_0 (l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + U O_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow} \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar\tau - \tau)] \right)$$

- Metropolis algorithm is used to sample the configurations according to the distribution function

- The goal is to compute $\langle A \rangle = \dfrac{1}{Z} \displaystyle\int dx\, f(x) A(x)$ with $Z = \displaystyle\int f(x)\, dx$

Reminder: Monte Carlo, detailled balance and Metropolis algorithm

- The goal is to compute $\langle A \rangle = \dfrac{1}{Z} \displaystyle\int dx\, f(x) A(x)$ with $Z = \displaystyle\int f(x) dx$

- a Markov chain is a sequence of configuration x such that $\langle A \rangle = \lim\limits_{N \to \infty} \dfrac{1}{N} \displaystyle\sum_i A(x_i)$

  - Starting from a configuration x, the probability to generate x' is such that $\displaystyle\sum_{x'} p(x \to x') = 1$

Reminder: Monte Carlo, detailled balance and Metropolis algorithm

- The goal is to compute $\langle A \rangle = \dfrac{1}{Z} \displaystyle\int dx f(x) A(x)$ with $Z = \displaystyle\int f(x) dx$

- a Markov chain is a sequence of configuration x such that $\langle A \rangle = \displaystyle\lim_{N \to \infty} \dfrac{1}{N} \sum_i A(x_i)$

  - Starting from a configuration x, the probability to generate x' is such that $\displaystyle\sum_{x'} p(x \to x') = 1$

- A Necessary condition for stationarity is

$$\sum_{x'} p(x) p(x \to x') = \sum_{x'} p(x') p(x' \to x)$$

Reminder: Monte Carlo, detailled balance and Metropolis algorithm

- The goal is to compute $\langle A \rangle = \dfrac{1}{Z} \int dx f(x) A(x)$ with $Z = \int f(x) dx$

- a Markov chain is a sequence of configuration x such that $\langle A \rangle = \lim\limits_{N \to \infty} \dfrac{1}{N} \sum\limits_{i} A(x_i)$

    - Starting from a configuration x, the probability to generate x' is such that $\sum\limits_{x'} p(x \to x') = 1$

- A Necessary condition for stationarity is

$$\sum_{x'} p(x) p(x \to x') = \sum_{x'} p(x') p(x' \to x)$$

- The detailed balance condition is a sufficient condition for stationarity

$$p(x) p(x \to x') = p(x') p(x' \to x)$$

Reminder: Monte Carlo, detailled balance and Metropolis algorithm

- The goal is to compute $\langle A \rangle = \dfrac{1}{Z} \int dx\, f(x) A(x)$ with $Z = \int f(x) dx$

- a Markov chain is a sequence of configuration x such that $\langle A \rangle = \lim\limits_{N \to \infty} \dfrac{1}{N} \sum\limits_{i} A(x_i)$

  - Starting from a configuration x, the probability to generate x' is such that $\sum\limits_{x'} p(x \to x') = 1$

- A Necessary condition for stationarity is

$$\sum_{x'} p(x) p(x \to x') = \sum_{x'} p(x') p(x' \to x)$$

- The detailed balance condition is a sufficient condition for stationarity

$$p(x) p(x \to x') = p(x') p(x' \to x)$$

- Metropolis algorithm

$$p(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{p(x')}{p(x)}, 1 \right)$$

Metropolis algorithm:

$$p(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{p(x')}{p(x)}, 1\right)$$

Corresponding transition probability

	$p(x) > p(x')$	$p(x') > p(x)$
$p(x \to x')$	$p(x')/p(x)$	1
$p(x)p(x \to x')$	$p(x')$	$p(x)$
$p(x' \to x)$	1	$p(x)/p(x')$
$p(x')p(x' \to x)$	$p(x')$	$p(x)$

The detailed balance is fullfilled with the Metropolis algorithm

$$p(x)p(x \to x') = p(x')p(x' \to x)$$

- proposal probability and acceptance probability

$$p(x \to x') = p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x')p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x')$$

- Detailed balance

$$p(x)p(x \to x') = p(x')p(x' \to x)$$

becomes

$$p(x)p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x')p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x') = p(x')p_{\text{prop}}(x' \to x)p_{\text{acc}}(x' \to x)$$

- Metropolis algorithm

$$p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{p(x')}{p(x)}\frac{p_{\text{prop}}(x' \to x)}{p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x')}, 1\right)$$

Monte Carlo moves

Basic moves

- insertion/removal of a segment

Monte Carlo moves

Basic moves

- insertion/removal of a segment

- insertion/removal of a anti-segment

Description of the insertion/removal of a segment

- For a segment insertion:

- For a segment insertion:
- Choose insertion or removal with the probability 1/2

Description of the insertion/removal of a segment

(a)

- For a segment insertion:
- Choose insertion or removal with the probability 1/2
- Choose a time $\bar{\tau}_2$ within $d\tau$ ($\beta/d\tau$ times are available).

Description of the insertion/removal of a segment

(a)

- For a segment insertion:
- Choose insertion or removal with the probability 1/2
- Choose a time $\bar{\tau}_2$ within $d\tau$ ($\beta/d\tau$ times are available).
- If $\bar{\tau}_2$ is in an existing segment, reject move.

(a)

- For a segment insertion:
- Choose insertion or removal with the probability 1/2
- Choose a time $\bar{\tau}_2$ within $d\tau$ ($\beta/d\tau$ times are available).
- If $\bar{\tau}_2$ is in an existing segment, reject move.
- If move is accepted, choose a time τ_2. Two general case are possible (a) and (b)

# Description of the insertion/removal of a segment

(a)

- For a segment insertion:
- Choose insertion or removal with the probability 1/2
- Choose a time $\bar{\tau}_2$ within $d\tau$ ($\beta/d\tau$ times are available).
- If $\bar{\tau}_2$ is in an existing segment, reject move.
- If move is accepted, choose a time τ_2. Two general case are possible (a) and (b)

(b)

Description of the insertion/removal of a segment

(a)

- For a segment insertion:
- Choose insertion or removal with the probability 1/2
- Choose a time $\bar{\tau}_2$ within $d\tau$ ($\beta/d\tau$ times are available).
- If $\bar{\tau}_2$ is in an existing segment, reject move.
- If move is accepted, choose a time τ_2. Two general case are possible (a) and (b)

(b)

- The proposal probability of the insertion is (l_{max} is the length available for the insertion).

$$p_{\mathrm{prop}}(x \rightarrow x') = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\tau}{\beta} \frac{d\tau}{l_{\mathrm{max}}}$$

Description of the insertion/removal of a segment

(a)

- For a segment insertion:
- Choose insertion or removal with the probability 1/2
- Choose a time $\bar{\tau}_2$ within $d\tau$ ($\beta/d\tau$ times are available).
- If $\bar{\tau}_2$ is in an existing segment, reject move.
- If move is accepted, choose a time τ_2. Two general case are possible (a) and (b)

(b)

- The proposal probability of the insertion is (l_{max} is the length available for the insertion).

$$p_{\mathrm{prop}}(x \to x') = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\tau}{\beta} \frac{d\tau}{l_{\mathrm{max}}}$$

- The proposal probability of the removal is

$$p_{\mathrm{prop}}(x \to x') = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n_\sigma}$$

Description of the insertion/removal of a segment

(a)

- For a segment insertion:
- Choose insertion or removal with the probability 1/2
- Choose a time $\bar{\tau}_2$ within $d\tau$ ($\beta/d\tau$ times are available).
- If $\bar{\tau}_2$ is in an existing segment, reject move.
- If move is accepted, choose a time τ_2. Two general case are possible (a) and (b)

(b)

- The proposal probability of the insertion is (l_{max} is the length available for the insertion).

$$p_{\mathrm{prop}}(x \to x') = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d\tau}{\beta} \frac{d\tau}{l_{\mathrm{max}}}$$

- The proposal probability of the removal is

$$p_{\mathrm{prop}}(x \to x') = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{n_\sigma}$$

- Then we use the Metropolis expression for the acceptance probability:

$$p_{\mathrm{acc}}(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{p(x')}{p(x)} \frac{p_{\mathrm{prop}}(x' \to x)}{p_{\mathrm{prop}}(x \to x')}, 1 \right)$$

Acceptance probability

- The proposal probability of the insertion is: $p_{\mathrm{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2} \dfrac{d\tau}{\beta} \dfrac{d\tau}{l_{\max}}$

Acceptance probability

- The proposal probability of the insertion is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \rightarrow x') = \dfrac{1}{2} \dfrac{d\tau}{\beta} \dfrac{d\tau}{l_{\max}}$

- The proposal probability of the removal is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \rightarrow x') = \dfrac{1}{2n_\sigma}$

Acceptance probability

- The proposal probability of the insertion is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2} \dfrac{d\tau}{\beta} \dfrac{d\tau}{l_{\max}}$

- The proposal probability of the removal is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2n_\sigma}$

- Then we use the Metropolis expression for the acceptance probability of the insertion

$$p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x')}{p_{\text{prop}}(x' \to x)} \frac{p(x')}{p(x)}, 1 \right)$$

Acceptance probability

- The proposal probability of the insertion is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2} \dfrac{d\tau}{\beta} \dfrac{d\tau}{l_{\max}}$

- The proposal probability of the removal is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2n_\sigma}$

- Then we use the Metropolis expression for the acceptance probability of the insertion

$$p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x')}{p_{\text{prop}}(x' \to x)} \frac{p(x')}{p(x)}, 1 \right)$$

- Using the probability $p(x)$ from the partition function

$$p(x) = Z_{\text{bath}}(d\tau)^{2(n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow)} \exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + U O_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow} \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar\tau - \tau)] \right)$$

Acceptance probability

- The proposal probability of the insertion is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2} \dfrac{d\tau}{\beta} \dfrac{d\tau}{l_{\max}}$

- The proposal probability of the removal is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2n_\sigma}$

- Then we use the Metropolis expression for the acceptance probability of the insertion

$$p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x')}{p_{\text{prop}}(x' \to x)} \frac{p(x')}{p(x)}, 1 \right)$$

- Using the probability $p(x)$ from the partition function

$$p(x) = Z_{\text{bath}}(d\tau)^{2(n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow)} \exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + UO_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow} \right] \left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar\tau - \tau)] \right)$$

- For an insertion of a segment $p(x)$ and $p(x')$

$$p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{\beta l_{\max}}{n+1} \frac{\det[F']}{\det[F]} \frac{\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^{\uparrow'} + l_\tau^{\downarrow'}) + UO_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow'} \right]}{\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + UO_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow} \right]}, 1 \right)$$

Acceptance probability

- The proposal probability of the insertion is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2}\dfrac{d\tau}{\beta}\dfrac{d\tau}{l_{\max}}$

- The proposal probability of the removal is: $p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x') = \dfrac{1}{2n_\sigma}$

- Then we use the Metropolis expression for the acceptance probability of the insertion

$$p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{p_{\text{prop}}(x \to x')}{p_{\text{prop}}(x' \to x)}\frac{p(x')}{p(x)}, 1\right)$$

- Using the probability $p(x)$ from the partition function

$$p(x) = Z_{\text{bath}}(d\tau)^{2(n_\uparrow + n_\downarrow)}\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + UO_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow}\right]\left(\prod_\sigma \det[F_\sigma(\bar\tau - \tau)]\right)$$

- For an insertion of a segment $p(x)$ and $p(x')$

$$p_{\text{acc}}(x \to x') = \min\left(\frac{\beta l_{\max}}{n + 1}\frac{\det[F']}{\det[F]}\frac{\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^{\uparrow'} + l_\tau^{\downarrow'}) + UO_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow'}\right]}{\exp\left[-\varepsilon_0(l_\tau^\uparrow + l_\tau^\downarrow) + UO_\tau^{\uparrow\downarrow}\right]}, 1\right)$$

- similar expression can be obtained for other moves.

Measurements

- Occupations

$$\langle n_\sigma \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \text{Tr} \left[e^{(-\beta H)} \hat{n}_\sigma \right] = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_x f(x) l^\sigma$$

- Double occupation (and interaction energy)

$$\langle n_\downarrow n_\uparrow \rangle = \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{1}{Z} \sum_x f(x) O^{\uparrow\downarrow}$$

- Green's function, magnetic susceptibility.

Comparison between Iron and Cerium

- d orbitals in iron are much diffuse than f orbitals in cerium.
- V_k is thus much larger
- The expansion as a function in V_k needs more term in iron in comparison to cerium.

Conclusion

- For more general interaction for multiorbital case (d or f), the algorithm is more complex.
- Active research to reduce noise, or speed up the calculation.
- Interaction expansion is also possible.
- Global moves can be necessary for multi-orbital systems.
- Sign problem in the general case.

Thanks to Jordan Bieder, Jules Denier, Valentin Planes.

Bibliography

P. Werner, A. Comanac, L. de medici, M. Troyer and A. J. Millis Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 076405 (2006)
PhD A.R Flesch, "Electronic structure of strongly correlated materials "
E. Gull *et al* RMP 2011 "Continuous-time Monte Carlo methods for quantum impurity models"
Lectures by P. Werner

Negative sign problem

$$Z = \int f(x)dx$$

$$\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \frac{1}{Z}\mathsf{Tr}\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\beta\hat{H}}\hat{A}\right)$$

On quantum systems, it can happen that $f(x) < 0$ for some x. How to randomly choose a configuration with a negative (or even complex) probability ?

$$\langle A \rangle_{f(x)} = \frac{\int dx f(x)A(x)}{\int dx f(x)} = \frac{\int dx f(x)A(x)}{\int dx f(x)} = \frac{\int dx |f(x)|\mathrm{sgn}(f(x))A(x)}{\int dx |f(x)|\mathrm{sgn}(f(x))}$$

$$\langle A \rangle_{f(x)} = \frac{\langle \mathrm{sgn}(f(x))A(x) \rangle_{|f(x)|}}{\langle \mathrm{sgn}(f(x)) \rangle_{|f(x)|}}$$

We can thus sample $\mathrm{sgn}(f(x))A(x)$ with the probability $|f(x)|$.
Similarly, for complex $f(x) = |f(x)|\mathrm{e}^{i\theta(x)}$, We can sample $\mathrm{e}^{i\theta(x)}A(x)$ with the probability $|f(x)|$.